



## **ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC ANALYSIS OF MONTANA**

### **VOLUME III Appendix Housing Data**

Prepared by



**Center for Applied Economic Research  
1500 University Drive  
Billings, MT 59101  
(406) 657-1742  
[kwagner@msubillings.edu](mailto:kwagner@msubillings.edu)**

**Information in Support of the Montana Consolidated Plan  
For the Plan Year Beginning April 1, 2006**

**December 2005**

**We make every effort to ensure that our documents are fully accessible to persons with disabilities. Alternative accessible formats of this document will be provided upon request.**

**Please contact:  
Montana Department of Commerce  
(406) 841-2820 or TDD (406) 841-2702  
State Relay System 711**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## VOLUME III APPENDIX

	Housing Problems <u>Table</u>	Affordability Mismatch <u>Table</u>
Montana .....	1	61
Beaverhead.....	2	62
Big Horn .....	3	63
Blaine .....	4	64
Broadwater.....	5	65
Carbon .....	6	66
Carter .....	7	67
Cascade .....	8	68
Chouteau .....	9	69
Custer .....	10	70
Daniels .....	11	71
Dawson .....	12	72
Deer Lodge .....	13	73
Fallon .....	14	74
Fergus.....	15	75
Flathead .....	16	76
Gallatin .....	17	77
Garfield .....	18	78
Glacier.....	19	79
Golden Valley .....	20	80
Granite .....	21	81
Hill .....	22	82
Jefferson .....	23	83
Judith Basin .....	24	84
Lake .....	25	85
Lewis and Clark .....	26	86
Liberty .....	27	87
Lincoln.....	28	88
Madison .....	29	89
McCone.....	30	90
Meagher.....	31	91
Mineral .....	32	92
Missoula.....	33	93
Musselshell .....	34	94
Park.....	35	95
Petroleum.....	36	96
Phillips.....	37	97
Pondera .....	38	98
Powder River .....	39	99
Powell .....	40	100
Prairie.....	41	101

**VOLUME III APPENDIX (cont.)**

	Housing Problems <u>Table</u>	Affordability Mismatch <u>Table</u>
Ravalli .....	42	102
Richland .....	43	103
Roosevelt .....	44	104
Rosebud .....	45	105
Sanders .....	46	106
Sheridan .....	47	107
Silver Bow .....	48	108
Stillwater .....	49	109
Sweet Grass .....	50	110
Teton .....	51	111
Toole .....	52	112
Treasure .....	53	113
Valley .....	54	114
Wheatland .....	55	115
Wibaux .....	56	116
Yellowstone .....	57	117
Billings City .....	58	
Great Falls City .....	59	
Missoula City .....	60	

These tables are from a special tabulation of Census 2000 data. This data set is typically referred to as the “CHAS Data”<sup>1</sup>.

### **Housing Problems Tables** (tabulation of *households*)

The data is organized as follows:

Renter households

Owner households

MFI income    0%-30%    Extremely low-income  
                      31% - 50%    Very low-income  
                      51% - 80%    Low-income  
                      Over 80%

#### HUD-adjusted MFI for the area:

HUD sets income limits that determine the eligibility of applicants for assisted housing programs. Using data from the Census, the American Community Survey, and the Bureau of Labor Statistics, HUD estimates median family income (one-half of families have higher income, one-half of families have lower income) for a family of four (base) and adjusts this income number for different family sizes.

Elderly households:	A one or two person household in which the head of the household or spouse is at least 62 years of age.
Small related households:	A household of 2 to 4 persons that includes at least one person related to the householder by blood, marriage, or adoption.
Large related households:	A household of 5 or more persons that includes at least one person related to the householder by blood, marriage, or adoption.
Other households:	A household of one or more persons that does not meet the definition of a small related, large related or elderly household (primarily unrelated households without an elderly member).

<sup>1</sup> “CHAS” refers to the Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy, which is part of the National Affordability Housing Act of 1991.

### **Housing Problems Tables** (tabulation of *households*)

The data is organized as follows:

Cost burden:	At least 30% of the household's monthly income is spent on housing costs.
Severe cost burden:	At least 50% of the household's monthly income is spent on housing costs.
Overcrowding:	Households with more than 1.00 person per room (total rooms, not just bedrooms).
Lacking facilities:	Households without complete plumbing and/or complete kitchen facilities.

### **Affordability Mismatch Tables** (tabulation of *housing units*)

The data is organized as follows:

Renter units by number of bedrooms		
Rent amount	<=30%	Gross rent + utilities are affordable to households at or below 0%-30% MFI
	31-50%	Gross rent + utilities are affordable to households with 31%-50% MFI
	51-80%	Gross rent + utilities are affordable to households with 51%-80% MFI
	>80%	Gross rent + utilities are affordable to households above 80% MFI
Affordable is defined as gross rent + utilities less than or equal to 30% of a household's monthly gross income.		

## Affordability Mismatch Tables (tabulation of *housing units*)

The data is organized as follows:

### Owner Units by number of bedrooms

Value of home	<=50%	Values are affordable to households at or below 50% MFI
	51-80%	Values are affordable to households with 51%-80% MFI
	>80%	Values are affordable to households above 80% MFI

Affordable is defined as annual owner costs less than or equal to 30% of a household's annual gross income.

Annual owner costs are estimated using a 7.9% interest rate and national averages for utilities, taxes, and insurance.

% occupants at MFI level	<=30%
	<=50%
	<=80%

% built before 1980

% some problem (overcrowding and/or lacking facilities)

# occupied units

# vacant units

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

STATE: Montana

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	4,938	7,210	1,480	10,265	23,893	5,938	4,655	1,065	3,998	15,656	39,549
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	57.1	74.2	80.7	75.6	71.7	71.6	75.8	83.1	75.5	74.6	72.8
% with cost burden	54.4	71.4	62.5	73.9	68.4	70.5	73.6	70.0	72.9	72.0	69.8
% with severe cost burden	37.1	54.9	42.9	60.2	52.7	44.4	60.5	56.3	58.7	53.6	53.1
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	5,027	6,765	1,630	8,579	22,001	10,595	6,299	1,829	3,838	22,561	44,562
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	52.4	62.9	74.5	67.3	63.1	37.8	66.4	76.8	63.5	53.3	58.1
% with cost burden	50.4	58.3	44.5	64.7	58.0	37.1	63.9	62.5	61.3	50.8	54.3
% with severe cost burden	15.8	14.7	11.0	18.1	16.0	15.8	40.1	30.6	36.8	27.3	21.7
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	3,887	9,357	2,425	10,222	25,891	15,753	15,228	4,528	6,684	42,193	68,084
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.9	28.3	44.5	27.8	30.4	22.0	50.1	56.1	49.7	40.2	36.5
% with cost burden	33.1	20.8	15.3	24.2	23.4	21.2	47.8	40.4	48.3	37.1	31.9
% with severe cost burden	8.0	1.1	1.2	1.9	2.4	7.5	16.2	10.2	17.7	12.6	8.7
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	4,798	16,862	2,695	14,715	39,070	37,578	94,835	15,413	19,494	167,320	206,390
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	12.0	5.9	26.0	5.3	7.8	7.8	12.4	18.9	19.2	12.8	11.8
% with cost burden	9.0	1.6	1.3	2.6	2.9	7.3	11.3	9.8	17.8	11.0	9.5
% with severe cost burden	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.6	1.4	1.7	0.9	2.5	1.6	1.4
<b>Total Households</b>	18,650	40,194	8,230	43,781	110,855	69,864	121,017	22,835	34,014	247,730	358,585
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	39.4	33.0	50.9	39.2	37.8	21.0	22.4	33.9	36.8	25.0	29.0
% with cost burden	37.2	28.1	25.0	36.5	32.7	20.3	21.0	22.9	35.2	22.9	26.0
% with severe cost burden	17.0	12.6	10.3	18.1	15.3	8.6	7.8	7.7	15.9	9.1	11.0



## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Beaverhead

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	79	76	12	138	305	44	52	8	54	158	463
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	64.6	89.5	66.7	89.1	82.0	77.3	84.6	100.0	63.0	75.9	79.9
% with cost burden	39.2	89.5	66.7	89.1	75.4	77.3	84.6	100.0	55.6	73.4	74.7
% with severe cost burden	29.1	84.2	66.7	54.3	55.7	45.5	76.9	100.0	37.0	55.7	55.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	42	78	8	143	271	68	82	38	33	221	492
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	42.9	50.0	50.0	54.5	51.3	48.5	63.4	60.5	87.9	62.0	56.1
% with cost burden	19.0	37.2	0.0	49.0	39.5	48.5	63.4	60.5	87.9	62.0	49.6
% with severe cost burden	9.5	12.8	0.0	14.0	12.5	26.5	48.8	50.0	45.5	41.6	25.6
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	31	139	14	125	309	168	140	38	72	418	727
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	12.9	13.7	28.6	32.0	21.7	20.2	54.3	63.2	52.8	41.1	32.9
% with cost burden	12.9	10.8	28.6	32.0	20.4	17.9	48.6	63.2	47.2	37.3	30.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	17.1	10.5	13.9	11.0	6.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	80	170	30	149	429	449	819	142	138	1,548	1,977
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4	3.3	5.3	8.4	16.2	20.3	9.3	8.0
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4	3.3	3.6	7.9	13.4	14.5	7.8	6.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	2.3	0.9	3.7	2.8	7.2	3.1	2.9
<b>Total Households</b>	232	463	64	555	1,314	729	1,093	226	297	2,345	3,659
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	31.5	27.2	25.0	45.9	35.8	17.1	22.0	34.5	43.4	24.4	28.5
% with cost burden	18.5	24.2	18.8	44.5	31.5	15.5	21.0	32.7	38.0	22.6	25.8
% with severe cost burden	11.6	16.0	12.5	18.9	16.3	6.9	12.3	15.5	18.5	11.7	13.3

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Big Horn

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	37	112	54	66	269	112	121	75	40	348	617
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	59.5	64.3	85.2	56.1	65.8	69.6	63.6	94.7	80.0	74.1	70.5
% with cost burden	59.5	64.3	48.1	40.9	54.6	69.6	63.6	89.3	80.0	73.0	65.0
% with severe cost burden	10.8	43.8	25.9	28.8	32.0	31.3	36.4	38.7	60.0	37.9	35.3
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	26	92	102	61	281	115	161	62	43	381	662
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	46.2	45.7	70.6	77.0	61.6	27.8	47.2	83.9	65.1	49.3	54.5
% with cost burden	46.2	37.0	11.8	77.0	37.4	24.3	44.7	12.9	65.1	35.7	36.4
% with severe cost burden	15.4	4.3	3.9	13.1	7.1	17.4	21.1	0.0	23.3	16.8	12.7
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	20	119	75	62	276	148	159	117	34	458	734
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	20.0	28.6	80.0	12.9	38.4	15.5	34.0	57.3	11.8	32.3	34.6
% with cost burden	20.0	16.0	0.0	6.5	9.8	15.5	27.7	18.8	11.8	20.3	16.3
% with severe cost burden	20.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.7	12.6	3.4	0.0	6.1	4.9
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	95	267	125	65	552	204	808	238	133	1,383	1,935
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	8.6	40.0	15.4	15.0	6.9	10.9	45.4	32.3	18.3	17.4
% with cost burden	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.7	6.9	7.8	7.6	24.8	9.3	6.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.7	2.0	1.2	0.0	6.0	1.6	1.3
<b>Total Households</b>	178	590	356	254	1,378	579	1,249	492	250	2,570	3,948
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	21.3	29.0	64.0	40.2	39.1	25.4	23.6	60.6	42.8	33.0	35.1
% with cost burden	21.3	21.9	10.7	30.7	20.5	24.7	20.5	23.4	38.8	23.8	22.6
% with severe cost burden	6.7	10.3	5.1	10.6	8.6	10.9	8.6	6.7	16.8	9.6	9.2

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Blaine

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	60	104	46	76	286	73	45	36	36	190	476
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	60.0	67.3	91.3	40.8	62.6	67.1	82.2	61.1	72.2	70.5	65.8
% with cost burden	53.3	63.5	60.9	35.5	53.5	67.1	73.3	50.0	61.1	64.2	57.8
% with severe cost burden	33.3	42.3	17.4	25.0	31.8	39.7	64.4	38.9	50.0	47.4	38.0
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	36	87	43	31	197	77	79	30	16	202	399
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	22.2	26.4	76.7	38.7	38.6	41.6	50.6	73.3	50.0	50.5	44.6
% with cost burden	22.2	21.8	18.6	25.8	21.8	41.6	45.6	40.0	50.0	43.6	32.8
% with severe cost burden	11.1	4.6	9.3	12.9	8.1	5.2	22.8	13.3	25.0	14.9	11.5
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	52	81	39	43	215	100	77	54	41	272	487
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	42.3	14.8	48.7	18.6	28.4	16.0	35.1	53.7	53.7	34.6	31.8
% with cost burden	19.2	9.9	10.3	9.3	12.1	16.0	29.9	7.4	43.9	22.4	17.9
% with severe cost burden	0.0	4.9	10.3	0.0	3.7	8.0	5.2	0.0	9.8	5.9	4.9
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	48	144	49	73	314	242	443	131	82	898	1,212
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	8.3	2.8	49.0	5.5	11.5	5.0	14.2	23.7	14.6	13.1	12.7
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0	1.3	3.3	13.3	9.2	9.8	9.7	7.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	4.9	1.6	1.2
<b>Total Households</b>	196	416	177	223	1,012	492	644	251	175	1,562	2,574
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	35.7	26.2	66.7	24.7	34.8	22.2	25.9	41.4	38.9	28.7	31.1
% with cost burden	25.5	22.4	24.9	17.5	22.3	21.3	23.4	18.3	32.0	22.9	22.7
% with severe cost burden	12.2	12.5	9.0	10.3	11.4	8.3	9.5	7.2	17.1	9.6	10.3

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Broadwater

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	24	30	4	16	74	36	16	0	39	91	165
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	100.0	100.0	75.0	78.4	72.2	75.0	N/A	61.5	68.1	72.7
% with cost burden	50.0	86.7	100.0	75.0	73.0	72.2	75.0	N/A	61.5	68.1	70.3
% with severe cost burden	33.3	60.0	100.0	25.0	45.9	33.3	50.0	N/A	51.3	44.0	44.8
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	28	23	8	12	71	75	37	8	19	139	210
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	42.9	82.6	50.0	66.7	60.6	42.7	78.4	100.0	21.1	52.5	55.2
% with cost burden	42.9	82.6	50.0	66.7	60.6	42.7	67.6	50.0	21.1	46.8	51.4
% with severe cost burden	14.3	17.4	0.0	33.3	16.9	24.0	40.5	50.0	21.1	29.5	25.2
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	30	23	8	35	96	92	102	32	32	258	354
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	26.7	34.8	50.0	45.7	37.5	8.7	65.7	56.3	75.0	45.3	43.2
% with cost burden	26.7	17.4	0.0	34.3	25.0	8.7	65.7	43.8	75.0	43.8	38.7
% with severe cost burden	13.3	0.0	0.0	11.4	8.3	4.3	7.8	12.5	62.5	14.0	12.4
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	39	88	14	40	181	219	543	69	68	899	1,080
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	10.3	4.5	28.6	0.0	6.6	22.4	17.1	20.3	11.8	18.2	16.3
% with cost burden	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	22.4	15.3	14.5	11.8	16.7	14.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.2
<b>Total Households</b>	121	164	34	103	422	422	698	109	158	1,387	1,809
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	29.8	37.2	47.1	35.0	35.3	27.3	28.8	36.7	38.0	30.0	31.2
% with cost burden	29.8	29.9	23.5	31.1	29.6	27.3	26.8	25.7	38.0	28.1	28.5
% with severe cost burden	13.2	13.4	11.8	11.7	12.8	10.4	6.4	7.3	27.8	10.2	10.8

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Carbon

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	44	36	8	37	125	95	72	20	74	261	386
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	54.5	88.9	50.0	89.2	74.4	60.0	58.3	60.0	45.9	55.6	61.7
% with cost burden	54.5	77.8	50.0	89.2	71.2	60.0	58.3	20.0	45.9	52.5	58.5
% with severe cost burden	31.8	55.6	0.0	89.2	53.6	40.0	47.2	20.0	32.4	38.3	43.3
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	52	41	18	73	184	169	68	16	37	290	474
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	34.6	90.2	77.8	67.1	64.1	41.4	64.7	100.0	48.6	51.0	56.1
% with cost burden	34.6	90.2	22.2	67.1	58.7	39.1	64.7	75.0	48.6	48.3	52.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	9.8	0.0	20.5	10.3	19.5	22.1	25.0	27.0	21.4	17.1
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	36	93	19	99	247	237	178	33	71	519	766
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	22.2	25.8	21.1	25.3	24.7	18.6	49.4	42.4	45.1	34.3	31.2
% with cost burden	11.1	25.8	21.1	15.2	19.0	15.2	47.2	42.4	39.4	31.2	27.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	27.5	30.3	5.6	15.6	10.6
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	83	224	35	153	495	514	1,058	158	263	1,993	2,488
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	6.3	28.6	2.6	5.7	7.6	11.6	20.9	14.4	11.7	10.5
% with cost burden	0.0	1.8	0.0	2.6	1.6	6.8	11.2	12.0	14.4	10.6	8.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	3.3	0.0	1.5	2.5	2.0
<b>Total Households</b>	215	394	80	362	1,051	1,015	1,376	227	445	3,063	4,114
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	23.3	27.2	40.0	30.7	28.5	20.7	21.6	33.0	27.4	23.0	24.4
% with cost burden	21.4	23.6	15.0	27.9	24.0	19.1	21.0	21.6	26.5	21.2	21.9
% with severe cost burden	6.5	6.1	0.0	13.3	8.2	9.8	9.7	7.9	9.4	9.5	9.2

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Carter

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	22	4	0	4	30	31	22	4	16	73	103
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	36.4	0.0	N/A	0.0	26.7	25.8	81.8	100.0	50.0	52.1	44.7
% with cost burden	36.4	0.0	N/A	0.0	26.7	25.8	81.8	100.0	50.0	52.1	44.7
% with severe cost burden	18.2	0.0	N/A	0.0	13.3	12.9	63.6	100.0	25.0	35.6	29.1
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	8	8	4	0	20	37	18	8	4	67	87
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	50.0	0.0	N/A	40.0	32.4	44.4	100.0	0.0	41.8	41.4
% with cost burden	50.0	50.0	0.0	N/A	40.0	21.6	44.4	100.0	0.0	35.8	36.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	10.8	22.2	100.0	0.0	23.9	18.4
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	4	15	10	14	43	37	23	16	19	95	138
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	9.3	32.4	17.4	50.0	21.1	29.5	23.2
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	9.3	21.6	17.4	25.0	21.1	21.1	17.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.6	0.0	25.0	0.0	12.6	8.7
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	4	25	10	15	54	85	108	18	14	225	279
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.6	16.7	22.2	0.0	21.3	17.2
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.9	16.7	22.2	0.0	19.6	15.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	3.7	0.0	0.0	6.2	5.0
<b>Total Households</b>	38	52	24	33	147	190	171	46	53	460	607
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	31.6	7.7	0.0	12.1	13.6	30.5	28.1	52.2	22.6	30.9	26.7
% with cost burden	31.6	7.7	0.0	12.1	13.6	24.2	28.1	43.5	22.6	27.4	24.1
% with severe cost burden	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	13.7	12.9	34.8	7.5	14.8	11.9

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Cascade

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	521	849	137	883	2,390	400	287	57	233	977	3,367
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	66.4	62.3	78.1	74.5	68.6	80.5	72.1	100.0	74.2	77.7	71.3
% with cost burden	64.9	58.2	64.2	71.2	64.8	78.5	69.3	82.5	72.5	74.6	67.7
% with severe cost burden	49.7	44.6	42.3	54.2	49.2	53.8	62.4	68.4	66.1	60.1	52.3
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	559	683	145	862	2,249	703	438	166	342	1,649	3,898
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	57.1	56.1	79.3	62.3	60.2	31.0	76.0	88.6	60.8	54.9	58.0
% with cost burden	52.1	55.5	55.2	58.9	55.9	31.0	72.8	86.1	56.4	52.9	54.7
% with severe cost burden	13.6	16.0	10.3	10.8	13.0	13.4	43.2	44.6	36.0	29.1	19.8
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	358	1,037	288	774	2,457	1,347	1,228	267	512	3,354	5,811
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	45.5	22.4	36.1	21.2	27.0	25.4	52.8	71.9	56.1	43.8	36.7
% with cost burden	45.5	13.7	13.9	14.9	18.7	25.1	50.3	64.8	54.5	42.0	32.1
% with severe cost burden	16.5	1.7	0.0	1.3	3.5	6.6	17.3	18.4	21.5	13.7	9.4
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	557	1,954	220	1,530	4,261	3,760	8,318	1,385	1,679	15,142	19,403
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	17.4	4.8	18.2	5.6	7.4	7.7	12.3	16.6	22.3	12.7	11.5
% with cost burden	12.0	0.2	0.0	3.3	2.8	7.4	11.2	8.3	20.8	11.0	9.2
% with severe cost burden	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.2	1.6	0.7	1.2	1.3	1.2
<b>Total Households</b>	1,995	4,523	790	4,049	11,357	6,210	10,271	1,875	2,766	21,122	32,479
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	46.4	27.4	46.3	35.7	35.0	18.9	21.5	33.4	37.7	23.9	27.8
% with cost burden	43.1	22.5	26.3	32.2	29.8	18.5	20.1	25.5	35.8	22.2	24.8
% with severe cost burden	21.3	11.2	9.2	14.4	14.0	7.1	6.9	9.2	14.7	8.2	10.2

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Chouteau

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	10	66	39	18	133	54	42	16	37	149	282
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	54.5	61.5	44.4	51.1	55.6	81.0	75.0	78.4	70.5	61.3
% with cost burden	0.0	54.5	51.3	44.4	48.1	55.6	81.0	75.0	78.4	70.5	59.9
% with severe cost burden	0.0	48.5	25.6	44.4	37.6	40.7	71.4	50.0	67.6	57.0	47.9
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	32	42	34	22	130	65	37	20	20	142	272
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	31.3	19.0	55.9	36.4	34.6	49.2	48.6	100.0	60.0	57.7	46.7
% with cost burden	31.3	19.0	11.8	36.4	23.1	49.2	48.6	100.0	60.0	57.7	41.2
% with severe cost burden	0.0	9.5	11.8	0.0	6.2	12.3	37.8	20.0	40.0	23.9	15.4
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	30	98	19	53	200	117	102	30	42	291	491
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	40.0	4.1	21.1	7.5	12.0	23.9	46.1	73.3	54.8	41.2	29.3
% with cost burden	40.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	8.0	20.5	38.2	46.7	54.8	34.4	23.6
% with severe cost burden	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	6.8	13.7	0.0	19.0	10.3	6.9
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	63	104	30	68	265	298	478	92	105	973	1,238
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	33.3	5.9	5.3	9.4	16.3	23.9	9.5	14.2	12.3
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	1.5	9.4	15.5	15.2	9.5	12.9	10.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	3.3	2.6
<b>Total Households</b>	135	310	122	161	728	534	659	158	204	1,555	2,283
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	16.3	15.5	46.7	14.9	20.7	22.1	26.9	48.1	36.3	28.6	26.1
% with cost burden	16.3	14.2	19.7	14.9	15.7	21.3	25.0	38.0	36.3	26.6	23.1
% with severe cost burden	3.0	11.6	11.5	5.0	8.5	9.4	11.8	7.6	20.1	11.6	10.6



## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Custer

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	45	74	0	151	270	75	51	12	63	201	471
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	68.9	86.5	N/A	84.1	82.2	80.0	84.3	66.7	52.4	71.6	77.7
% with cost burden	68.9	81.1	N/A	81.5	79.3	80.0	84.3	66.7	52.4	71.6	76.0
% with severe cost burden	42.2	67.6	N/A	65.6	62.2	44.0	68.6	66.7	46.0	52.2	58.0
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	57	90	8	149	304	178	119	18	42	357	661
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	38.6	27.8	50.0	66.4	49.3	38.2	58.8	44.4	52.4	47.1	48.1
% with cost burden	31.6	27.8	0.0	59.7	43.4	38.2	50.4	44.4	42.9	43.1	43.3
% with severe cost burden	7.0	0.0	0.0	13.4	7.9	15.7	25.2	22.2	23.8	20.2	14.5
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	84	72	34	104	294	236	272	47	79	634	928
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	16.7	41.2	3.8	10.2	13.6	50.4	25.5	17.7	30.8	24.2
% with cost burden	0.0	11.1	29.4	3.8	7.5	13.6	47.4	17.0	12.7	28.2	21.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	1.4	3.4	18.0	8.5	0.0	9.6	7.0
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	84	209	60	190	543	557	1,179	187	233	2,156	2,699
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	3.7	7.5	8.8	11.8	14.2	9.3	8.2
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.8	8.5	7.5	12.4	8.4	6.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.3	2.1	1.7	1.3	1.0
<b>Total Households</b>	270	445	102	594	1,411	1,046	1,621	264	417	3,348	4,759
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	19.6	22.7	37.3	38.7	29.9	19.3	21.8	18.9	24.5	21.1	23.7
% with cost burden	18.1	20.9	9.8	36.4	26.1	18.9	20.5	14.4	21.6	19.7	21.6
% with severe cost burden	8.5	12.1	0.0	20.0	13.9	7.0	8.0	7.6	10.3	7.9	9.7

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Daniels

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	20	4	4	22	50	24	32	4	20	80	130
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	60.0	100.0	100.0	54.5	64.0	66.7	75.0	100.0	60.0	70.0	67.7
% with cost burden	60.0	100.0	100.0	36.4	56.0	66.7	75.0	100.0	60.0	70.0	64.6
% with severe cost burden	40.0	100.0	100.0	18.2	40.0	50.0	62.5	100.0	40.0	55.0	49.2
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	26	12	0	4	42	41	30	0	19	90	132
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	30.8	66.7	N/A	0.0	38.1	29.3	73.3	N/A	21.1	42.2	40.9
% with cost burden	30.8	66.7	N/A	0.0	38.1	29.3	73.3	N/A	21.1	42.2	40.9
% with severe cost burden	15.4	33.3	N/A	0.0	19.0	9.8	26.7	N/A	0.0	13.3	15.2
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	22	10	4	14	50	65	48	18	14	145	195
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	18.2	0.0	100.0	28.6	24.0	24.6	37.5	55.6	28.6	33.1	30.8
% with cost burden	18.2	0.0	0.0	28.6	16.0	24.6	37.5	55.6	28.6	33.1	28.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.2	8.3	0.0	0.0	5.5	4.1
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	19	30	4	15	68	158	168	35	39	400	468
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	10.7	0.0	10.3	7.5	6.4
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	8.3	0.0	10.3	6.5	5.6
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.9
<b>Total Households</b>	87	56	12	55	210	288	278	57	92	715	925
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	27.6	21.4	66.7	29.1	28.6	18.1	29.5	24.6	26.1	24.1	25.1
% with cost burden	27.6	21.4	33.3	21.8	24.8	18.1	28.1	24.6	26.1	23.5	23.8
% with severe cost burden	13.8	14.3	33.3	7.3	13.3	6.9	12.9	7.0	8.7	9.5	10.4

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Dawson

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	43	67	8	93	211	99	74	12	33	218	429
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	72.1	55.2	50.0	46.2	54.5	74.7	81.1	100.0	54.5	75.2	65.0
% with cost burden	72.1	55.2	50.0	46.2	54.5	74.7	81.1	100.0	54.5	75.2	65.0
% with severe cost burden	53.5	43.3	0.0	37.6	41.2	45.5	81.1	100.0	30.3	58.3	49.9
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	42	74	14	94	224	126	88	23	42	279	503
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	57.1	39.2	71.4	14.9	34.4	57.1	48.9	82.6	81.0	60.2	48.7
% with cost burden	57.1	33.8	71.4	14.9	32.6	57.1	48.9	82.6	81.0	60.2	47.9
% with severe cost burden	23.8	0.0	0.0	4.3	6.3	6.3	39.8	17.4	23.8	20.4	14.1
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	27	48	14	64	153	192	169	29	63	453	606
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	14.8	16.7	28.6	21.9	19.6	16.7	26.0	13.8	38.1	23.0	22.1
% with cost burden	14.8	8.3	0.0	15.6	11.8	16.7	26.0	13.8	38.1	23.0	20.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.3	5.9	0.0	6.3	6.2	4.6
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	69	135	29	110	343	503	979	156	133	1,771	2,114
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	13.8	0.0	1.2	4.8	9.6	10.3	6.0	8.0	6.9
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	7.6	5.1	3.0	6.2	5.2
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.4	2.6	0.0	0.7	0.6
<b>Total Households</b>	181	324	65	361	931	920	1,310	220	271	2,721	3,652
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	32.6	22.8	33.8	19.7	24.3	22.0	18.4	23.2	31.0	21.2	22.0
% with cost burden	32.6	20.4	21.5	18.6	22.1	22.0	16.9	19.5	29.5	20.1	20.6
% with severe cost burden	18.2	9.0	0.0	10.8	10.8	7.7	8.3	9.1	8.9	8.2	8.9

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Deer Lodge

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	69	69	4	155	297	102	88	12	23	225	522
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	29.0	72.5	100.0	41.9	46.8	92.2	60.2	33.3	82.6	75.6	59.2
% with cost burden	29.0	58.0	100.0	41.9	43.4	92.2	60.2	33.3	82.6	75.6	57.3
% with severe cost burden	29.0	43.5	100.0	22.6	30.0	68.6	55.7	0.0	82.6	61.3	43.5
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	47	99	4	93	243	129	36	30	50	245	488
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	25.5	44.4	100.0	40.9	40.3	18.6	72.2	66.7	60.0	40.8	40.6
% with cost burden	25.5	44.4	0.0	40.9	38.7	18.6	50.0	66.7	60.0	37.6	38.1
% with severe cost burden	8.5	10.1	0.0	0.0	5.8	7.8	27.8	0.0	60.0	20.4	13.1
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	58	67	14	49	188	348	198	34	64	644	832
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	32.8	17.9	28.6	0.0	18.6	15.5	54.5	11.8	6.3	26.4	24.6
% with cost burden	32.8	11.9	0.0	0.0	14.4	14.4	52.5	11.8	6.3	25.2	22.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	8.6	10.1	11.8	6.3	9.0	7.5
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	44	145	14	94	297	449	1,015	127	208	1,799	2,096
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	6.9	28.6	4.3	6.1	3.1	13.8	6.3	13.5	10.6	9.9
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	9.9	3.1	13.5	8.1	7.0
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.9	0.8	0.7
<b>Total Households</b>	218	380	36	391	1,025	1,028	1,337	203	345	2,913	3,938
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	23.4	30.5	44.4	27.4	28.3	18.1	24.5	17.7	23.5	21.6	23.4
% with cost burden	23.4	24.2	11.1	26.3	24.4	17.7	20.6	15.8	23.5	19.6	20.8
% with severe cost burden	11.0	11.6	11.1	9.0	10.4	10.7	6.7	2.0	16.5	8.9	9.3

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Fallon

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	12	12	0	16	40	26	22	12	18	78	118
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	66.7	N/A	50.0	40.0	69.2	54.5	66.7	44.4	59.0	52.5
% with cost burden	0.0	66.7	N/A	50.0	40.0	69.2	54.5	66.7	44.4	59.0	52.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	33.3	N/A	25.0	20.0	53.8	36.4	66.7	44.4	48.7	39.0
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	32	22	10	14	78	66	30	18	12	126	204
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	31.3	36.4	0.0	28.6	28.2	39.4	53.3	44.4	66.7	46.0	39.2
% with cost burden	31.3	18.2	0.0	28.6	23.1	39.4	40.0	44.4	66.7	42.9	35.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.1	13.3	22.2	33.3	15.9	9.8
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	18	29	4	14	65	93	52	12	31	188	253
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	13.8	0.0	28.6	12.3	32.3	44.2	33.3	38.7	36.7	30.4
% with cost burden	0.0	13.8	0.0	28.6	12.3	32.3	44.2	33.3	25.8	34.6	28.9
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.6	7.7	0.0	12.9	8.5	6.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	18	50	14	24	106	162	283	62	30	537	643
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	6.4	19.4	0.0	7.1	5.9
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	4.9	6.5	0.0	4.8	4.0
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.6
<b>Total Households</b>	80	113	28	68	289	347	387	104	91	929	1,218
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	12.5	17.7	0.0	23.5	15.9	23.6	17.8	30.8	30.8	22.7	21.1
% with cost burden	12.5	14.2	0.0	23.5	14.5	23.6	15.8	23.1	26.4	20.6	19.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	3.5	0.0	5.9	2.8	8.6	5.2	11.5	17.6	8.4	7.1

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Fergus

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	133	74	8	52	267	117	82	8	68	275	542
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	54.9	66.2	100.0	92.3	66.7	66.7	82.9	50.0	88.2	76.4	71.6
% with cost burden	54.9	60.8	50.0	84.6	62.2	66.7	82.9	50.0	88.2	76.4	69.4
% with severe cost burden	48.9	40.5	50.0	76.9	52.1	33.3	73.2	50.0	66.2	53.8	53.0
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	66	63	20	105	254	210	93	22	42	367	621
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	57.6	44.4	100.0	42.9	51.6	43.3	68.8	81.8	66.7	54.8	53.5
% with cost burden	57.6	38.1	50.0	42.9	46.1	43.3	68.8	63.6	66.7	53.7	50.6
% with severe cost burden	28.8	6.3	0.0	0.0	9.1	20.5	53.8	63.6	33.3	33.0	23.2
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	55	89	19	99	262	312	216	99	83	710	972
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	41.8	16.9	21.1	24.2	25.2	23.1	51.4	49.5	45.8	38.0	34.6
% with cost burden	41.8	16.9	21.1	24.2	25.2	21.8	49.5	34.3	45.8	34.8	32.2
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	1.5	6.1	20.4	0.0	21.7	11.4	8.7
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	84	223	44	140	491	648	1,203	174	239	2,264	2,755
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	4.8	1.8	31.8	0.0	4.5	5.1	11.5	22.4	10.0	10.3	9.3
% with cost burden	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	5.1	10.3	13.8	10.0	9.1	7.6
% with severe cost burden	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.5	2.4	2.3	1.7	2.1	1.9
<b>Total Households</b>	338	449	91	396	1,274	1,287	1,594	303	432	3,616	4,890
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	40.8	21.4	50.5	29.5	31.2	21.3	23.9	36.3	34.7	25.3	26.8
% with cost burden	40.8	18.7	19.8	28.5	27.7	21.0	22.8	25.1	34.7	23.8	24.8
% with severe cost burden	26.0	7.6	4.4	11.1	13.3	8.6	11.5	7.3	18.8	11.0	11.6

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Flathead

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	447	419	113	593	1,572	343	323	87	405	1,158	2,730
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	47.4	83.3	96.5	70.5	69.2	75.5	78.3	95.4	79.0	79.0	73.4
% with cost burden	46.5	76.1	70.8	69.8	64.9	75.5	75.9	95.4	71.6	75.7	69.5
% with severe cost burden	35.3	64.2	61.9	61.6	54.8	53.6	61.9	86.2	66.7	63.0	58.3
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	306	529	138	438	1,411	818	669	92	397	1,976	3,387
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	53.3	72.6	81.9	70.3	68.6	49.9	75.3	73.9	59.7	61.6	64.5
% with cost burden	53.3	68.8	63.8	67.1	64.4	48.9	71.6	69.6	55.9	59.0	61.2
% with severe cost burden	27.8	19.8	31.9	21.7	23.3	26.3	51.6	38.0	38.5	37.9	31.8
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	290	705	184	599	1,778	1,218	1,343	370	649	3,580	5,358
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	31.0	42.6	59.2	28.2	37.6	24.9	60.5	59.5	59.2	48.0	44.6
% with cost burden	31.0	29.1	23.9	25.7	27.7	24.5	59.5	47.3	57.6	46.0	39.9
% with severe cost burden	8.6	1.4	2.2	0.7	2.4	11.1	22.3	12.2	30.0	18.8	13.4
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	389	1,360	182	1,230	3,161	3,058	8,734	1,374	1,795	14,961	18,122
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	9.0	7.4	39.6	8.9	10.0	12.5	17.3	23.2	22.8	17.6	16.2
% with cost burden	9.0	1.1	4.4	6.1	4.2	12.4	15.9	13.4	20.6	15.5	13.5
% with severe cost burden	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.6	3.1	2.7	1.5	3.9	2.8	2.4
<b>Total Households</b>	1,432	3,013	617	2,860	7,922	5,437	11,069	1,923	3,246	21,675	29,597
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	34.9	37.6	65.3	35.1	38.4	24.9	27.9	35.9	41.6	29.9	32.2
% with cost burden	34.6	30.0	35.7	32.8	32.3	24.6	26.3	26.3	38.7	27.7	28.9
% with severe cost burden	19.4	12.7	19.1	16.6	15.8	11.6	9.7	9.1	21.2	11.9	12.9

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Gallatin

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	194	399	96	1,068	1,757	222	224	41	218	705	2,462
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	45.9	88.7	84.4	79.9	78.4	75.7	86.6	65.9	88.5	82.6	79.6
% with cost burden	45.9	88.7	69.8	79.9	77.6	73.9	82.1	65.9	88.5	80.6	78.4
% with severe cost burden	30.9	64.9	51.0	70.5	63.8	47.3	67.0	56.1	75.2	62.7	63.5
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	172	494	68	1,088	1,822	416	314	80	295	1,105	2,927
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	54.7	77.7	85.3	80.7	77.6	29.3	58.6	82.5	69.5	52.2	68.0
% with cost burden	54.7	71.7	79.4	79.4	75.0	29.3	57.3	77.5	69.5	51.5	66.1
% with severe cost burden	34.9	16.0	14.7	31.7	27.1	10.6	35.0	41.3	45.8	29.1	27.9
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	170	830	189	1,420	2,609	733	1,083	347	489	2,652	5,261
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	44.1	35.5	55.6	38.0	38.9	26.3	56.1	64.0	54.0	48.5	43.8
% with cost burden	44.1	27.7	23.8	34.9	32.4	25.8	55.8	53.9	54.0	46.9	39.7
% with severe cost burden	5.9	1.2	10.6	4.9	4.2	7.4	16.5	9.8	23.5	14.4	9.4
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	149	1,649	135	1,780	3,713	1,995	7,308	950	1,753	12,006	15,719
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	9.4	9.9	14.8	6.7	8.6	9.8	15.8	17.4	20.7	15.7	14.0
% with cost burden	2.7	5.1	7.4	2.8	4.0	9.0	15.2	9.5	20.3	14.5	12.0
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.2	1.1	3.7	2.1	1.6
<b>Total Households</b>	685	3,372	488	5,356	9,901	3,366	8,929	1,418	2,755	16,468	26,369
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	39.7	35.5	54.1	44.6	41.7	20.1	24.0	33.9	37.2	26.3	32.0
% with cost burden	38.2	30.3	36.1	42.2	37.6	19.5	23.3	25.8	36.9	25.0	29.7
% with severe cost burden	19.0	10.3	16.2	21.8	17.4	6.6	6.7	7.1	17.4	8.5	11.9



## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Garfield

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	12	4	4	12	32	30	16	8	12	66	98
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	62.5	73.3	50.0	100.0	100.0	75.8	71.4
% with cost burden	33.3	100.0	0.0	66.7	50.0	60.0	50.0	50.0	100.0	63.6	59.2
% with severe cost burden	33.3	100.0	0.0	33.3	37.5	26.7	50.0	0.0	100.0	42.4	40.8
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	8	0	0	10	18	28	35	0	0	63	81
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	28.6	45.7	N/A	N/A	38.1	29.6
% with cost burden	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	28.6	45.7	N/A	N/A	38.1	29.6
% with severe cost burden	0.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	14.3	11.4	N/A	N/A	12.7	9.9
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	12	15	0	15	42	38	20	8	8	74	116
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.3	0.0	N/A	0.0	9.5	21.1	60.0	50.0	50.0	37.8	27.6
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	21.1	60.0	0.0	50.0	32.4	20.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	21.1	20.0	0.0	0.0	16.2	10.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	10	24	8	15	57	67	105	18	14	204	261
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	16.7	50.0	0.0	14.0	6.0	19.0	22.2	0.0	13.7	13.8
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	15.2	22.2	0.0	11.8	9.2
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	3.9	3.1
<b>Total Households</b>	42	43	12	52	149	163	176	34	34	407	556
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	28.6	18.6	33.3	15.4	21.5	25.8	31.8	47.1	47.1	31.9	29.1
% with cost burden	9.5	9.3	0.0	15.4	10.7	23.3	29.5	23.5	47.1	28.0	23.4
% with severe cost burden	9.5	9.3	0.0	7.7	8.1	14.7	11.4	0.0	35.3	13.8	12.2

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Glacier

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	43	223	104	91	461	92	114	42	67	315	776
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	76.7	59.6	85.6	68.1	68.8	78.3	78.9	76.2	94.0	81.6	74.0
% with cost burden	67.4	50.7	37.5	63.7	51.8	78.3	75.4	52.4	79.1	74.0	60.8
% with severe cost burden	9.3	37.2	33.7	42.9	34.9	26.1	42.1	42.9	73.1	44.1	38.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	37	122	87	87	333	138	93	57	30	318	651
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	21.6	30.3	54.0	31.0	35.7	47.1	46.2	73.7	66.7	53.5	44.4
% with cost burden	21.6	18.9	20.7	26.4	21.6	47.1	35.5	40.4	66.7	44.3	32.7
% with severe cost burden	10.8	3.3	11.5	4.6	6.6	2.9	8.6	0.0	66.7	10.1	8.3
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	33	133	105	34	305	96	212	119	42	469	774
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	12.1	24.8	52.4	11.8	31.5	12.5	22.2	53.8	66.7	32.2	31.9
% with cost burden	12.1	18.8	14.3	11.8	15.7	12.5	15.1	3.4	66.7	16.2	16.0
% with severe cost burden	12.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	3.8	3.4	9.5	3.4	2.6
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	65	269	74	104	512	289	833	264	174	1,560	2,072
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	5.2	45.9	3.8	10.2	1.4	7.6	33.7	19.5	12.2	11.7
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.8	1.4	5.9	7.2	17.2	6.5	5.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
<b>Total Households</b>	178	747	370	316	1,611	615	1,252	482	313	2,662	4,273
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	25.3	29.0	60.8	30.7	36.3	24.9	19.4	47.1	46.3	28.9	31.6
% with cost burden	23.0	21.6	19.5	28.2	22.5	24.9	16.0	14.1	41.9	20.7	21.4
% with severe cost burden	6.7	11.6	12.2	13.6	11.6	4.6	5.4	4.6	23.3	7.2	8.8

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Golden Valley

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	8	12	0	4	24	8	8	8	12	36	60
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	66.7	N/A	100.0	66.7	50.0	100.0	100.0	66.7	77.8	73.3
% with cost burden	50.0	66.7	N/A	100.0	66.7	50.0	50.0	100.0	66.7	66.7	66.7
% with severe cost burden	50.0	33.3	N/A	100.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	33.3	44.4	46.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	8	12	4	4	28	16	8	4	4	32	60
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	66.7	100.0	0.0	57.1	50.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	53.3
% with cost burden	50.0	33.3	100.0	0.0	42.9	50.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	46.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	13.3
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	4	8	0	4	16	35	19	12	4	70	86
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	50.0	N/A	0.0	25.0	34.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	22.9	23.3
% with cost burden	0.0	50.0	N/A	0.0	25.0	22.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.4	14.0
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	4.7
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	4	15	4	10	33	39	94	22	18	173	206
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	12.1	0.0	4.3	36.4	22.2	9.2	9.7
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	18.2	22.2	6.9	5.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	2.3	1.9
<b>Total Households</b>	24	47	8	22	101	98	129	46	38	311	412
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.3	42.6	100.0	18.2	39.6	24.5	12.4	52.2	31.6	24.4	28.2
% with cost burden	33.3	34.0	50.0	18.2	31.7	20.4	9.3	34.8	31.6	19.3	22.3
% with severe cost burden	16.7	8.5	0.0	18.2	11.9	12.2	6.2	8.7	21.1	10.3	10.7

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Granite

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	38	22	4	26	90	28	30	4	12	74	164
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	52.6	81.8	100.0	61.5	64.4	57.1	86.7	100.0	66.7	73.0	68.3
% with cost burden	42.1	81.8	100.0	46.2	55.6	57.1	73.3	100.0	66.7	67.6	61.0
% with severe cost burden	21.1	45.5	100.0	15.4	28.9	28.6	60.0	100.0	66.7	51.4	39.0
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	14	16	4	22	56	54	51	19	16	140	196
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	28.6	75.0	100.0	54.5	57.1	37.0	62.7	21.1	75.0	48.6	51.0
% with cost burden	28.6	75.0	100.0	36.4	50.0	37.0	54.9	21.1	75.0	45.7	46.9
% with severe cost burden	0.0	50.0	0.0	18.2	21.4	22.2	7.8	0.0	25.0	14.3	16.3
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	12	28	12	30	82	81	61	16	20	178	260
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.3	28.6	66.7	33.3	36.6	14.8	60.7	75.0	80.0	43.3	41.2
% with cost burden	33.3	14.3	33.3	33.3	26.8	14.8	54.1	75.0	60.0	38.8	35.0
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	24.6	25.0	20.0	17.4	11.9
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	14	59	14	29	116	175	246	47	72	540	656
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	6.8	28.6	13.8	10.3	17.1	16.7	25.5	16.7	17.6	16.3
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.6	15.0	8.5	11.1	13.1	10.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	5.6	1.5	1.2
<b>Total Households</b>	78	125	34	107	344	338	388	86	120	932	1,276
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	35.9	33.6	58.8	39.3	38.4	23.1	35.1	37.2	40.0	31.5	33.4
% with cost burden	30.8	27.2	35.3	28.0	29.1	20.7	30.9	27.9	33.3	27.3	27.7
% with severe cost burden	10.3	14.4	11.8	7.5	11.0	8.3	10.6	9.3	16.7	10.4	10.6

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Hill

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	88	168	16	222	494	86	98	22	48	254	748
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	61.4	46.4	75.0	82.4	66.2	66.3	84.7	100.0	60.4	75.2	69.3
% with cost burden	61.4	46.4	50.0	80.6	64.6	66.3	84.7	63.6	52.1	70.5	66.6
% with severe cost burden	50.0	25.6	25.0	58.1	44.5	50.0	65.3	63.6	52.1	57.5	48.9
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	164	150	62	138	514	128	106	34	19	287	801
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	64.0	53.3	75.8	45.7	57.4	14.1	76.4	88.2	78.9	50.2	54.8
% with cost burden	64.0	53.3	45.2	45.7	53.7	14.1	76.4	76.5	78.9	48.8	51.9
% with severe cost burden	18.3	10.0	6.5	13.8	13.2	10.9	36.8	41.2	78.9	28.6	18.7
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	86	164	55	209	514	274	268	63	99	704	1,218
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	55.8	8.5	36.4	16.3	22.6	25.9	51.5	52.4	50.5	41.5	33.5
% with cost burden	55.8	6.1	0.0	16.3	17.9	22.3	42.2	12.7	50.5	33.0	26.6
% with severe cost burden	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	8.4	27.6	6.3	15.2	16.5	9.9
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	84	298	99	275	756	668	1,594	305	348	2,915	3,671
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	4.8	12.8	40.4	7.3	13.5	4.2	12.2	18.0	18.1	11.7	12.0
% with cost burden	0.0	2.7	0.0	3.6	2.4	3.0	11.0	4.9	17.0	9.2	7.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.1	0.8	0.8
<b>Total Households</b>	422	780	232	844	2,278	1,156	2,066	424	514	4,160	6,438
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	26.9	51.3	35.5	36.9	15.1	24.0	33.0	30.5	23.2	28.1
% with cost burden	49.1	22.6	15.5	33.9	30.9	13.5	21.9	14.9	29.0	19.7	23.7
% with severe cost burden	18.5	7.9	3.4	17.5	13.0	6.9	9.5	7.5	11.5	8.8	10.3

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Jefferson

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	37	66	8	82	193	89	89	12	52	242	435
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	48.6	63.6	50.0	52.4	55.4	51.7	61.8	100.0	73.1	62.4	59.3
% with cost burden	48.6	57.6	50.0	52.4	53.4	51.7	57.3	100.0	73.1	60.7	57.5
% with severe cost burden	27.0	28.8	50.0	35.4	32.1	36.0	43.8	66.7	53.8	44.2	38.9
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	36	53	12	52	153	119	93	40	57	309	462
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	61.1	35.8	66.7	63.5	53.6	29.4	63.4	80.0	64.9	52.8	53.0
% with cost burden	61.1	28.3	66.7	63.5	51.0	29.4	63.4	70.0	40.4	46.9	48.3
% with severe cost burden	22.2	0.0	66.7	7.7	13.1	19.3	26.9	35.0	7.0	21.4	18.6
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	12	63	14	33	122	153	227	50	83	513	635
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	12.7	28.6	12.1	13.1	15.7	47.1	40.0	57.8	38.8	33.9
% with cost burden	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	3.3	10.5	41.0	32.0	53.0	32.9	27.2
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	15.0	8.0	18.1	11.1	9.0
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	25	88	30	67	210	321	1,391	213	147	2,072	2,282
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	9.1	33.3	11.9	12.4	10.0	8.0	10.8	21.8	9.6	9.8
% with cost burden	0.0	4.5	0.0	6.0	3.8	10.0	7.4	1.9	19.0	8.1	7.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.3	0.0	2.7	0.8	0.7
<b>Total Households</b>	110	270	64	234	678	682	1,800	315	339	3,136	3,814
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	36.4	28.5	40.6	37.6	34.1	20.1	18.4	27.6	45.7	22.7	24.7
% with cost burden	36.4	22.6	18.8	34.2	28.5	18.9	17.0	19.0	39.2	20.0	21.5
% with severe cost burden	16.4	7.0	18.8	14.1	12.1	9.8	5.7	8.3	15.0	7.8	8.6

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Judith Basin

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	16	14	4	8	42	52	30	32	16	130	172
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	25.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	33.3	57.7	73.3	87.5	50.0	67.7	59.3
% with cost burden	25.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	33.3	50.0	60.0	56.3	50.0	53.8	48.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	23.8	23.1	46.7	43.8	50.0	36.9	33.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	16	8	4	14	42	40	20	4	19	83	125
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	50.0	0.0	28.6	38.1	40.0	80.0	100.0	21.1	48.2	44.8
% with cost burden	50.0	50.0	0.0	28.6	38.1	40.0	60.0	0.0	21.1	38.6	38.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	14.5	9.6
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	16	25	8	14	63	67	38	16	24	145	208
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	25.0	0.0	50.0	28.6	19.0	6.0	36.8	50.0	16.7	20.7	20.2
% with cost burden	25.0	0.0	50.0	28.6	19.0	6.0	36.8	25.0	16.7	17.9	18.3
% with severe cost burden	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	10.5	25.0	0.0	5.5	5.8
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	19	45	14	20	98	119	228	23	52	422	520
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	4.1	16.8	14.5	17.4	23.1	16.4	14.0
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.4	12.7	17.4	15.4	13.5	11.0
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	6.1	17.4	7.7	7.1	5.8
<b>Total Households</b>	67	92	30	56	245	278	316	75	111	780	1,025
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	23.9	15.2	26.7	14.3	18.8	25.2	26.9	58.7	25.2	29.1	26.6
% with cost burden	23.9	15.2	13.3	14.3	17.1	22.3	23.1	34.7	21.6	23.7	22.1
% with severe cost burden	6.0	10.9	0.0	0.0	5.7	10.1	11.4	29.3	10.8	12.6	10.9

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Lake

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	133	309	79	260	781	221	149	28	119	517	1,298
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	66.2	66.0	62.0	61.5	64.1	64.3	66.4	85.7	83.2	70.4	66.6
% with cost burden	63.2	66.0	44.3	55.8	59.9	59.7	66.4	71.4	79.8	66.9	62.7
% with severe cost burden	41.4	43.7	31.6	38.5	40.3	31.2	57.0	57.1	50.4	44.5	42.0
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	80	243	48	147	518	464	164	72	97	797	1,315
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	38.8	60.9	79.2	59.2	58.7	42.0	60.4	51.4	48.5	47.4	51.9
% with cost burden	38.8	51.0	50.0	46.3	47.7	41.2	60.4	31.9	44.3	44.7	45.9
% with severe cost burden	10.0	16.5	0.0	10.2	12.2	20.9	33.5	20.8	24.7	24.0	19.3
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	90	272	94	274	730	578	523	209	168	1,478	2,208
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.3	41.2	46.8	36.1	39.0	16.1	45.5	59.3	52.4	36.7	37.5
% with cost burden	33.3	36.0	25.5	28.8	31.6	16.1	44.0	50.2	50.0	34.6	33.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.5	1.1	5.9	11.5	9.6	23.2	10.4	7.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	85	414	120	259	878	1,164	2,359	419	525	4,467	5,345
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	7.0	41.7	5.4	10.6	9.8	11.6	22.4	24.8	13.7	13.2
% with cost burden	0.0	2.4	0.0	1.5	1.6	9.8	10.3	15.3	20.0	11.8	10.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.5	1.0	1.9	1.4	1.2
<b>Total Households</b>	388	1,238	341	940	2,907	2,427	3,195	728	909	7,259	10,166
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	38.4	39.8	53.1	38.3	40.7	22.4	22.2	38.3	40.0	26.1	30.3
% with cost burden	37.4	35.2	24.3	31.5	33.0	21.8	21.0	29.1	36.0	24.0	26.6
% with severe cost burden	16.2	14.5	7.3	12.7	13.3	8.8	7.4	7.6	14.6	8.8	10.1



## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Lewis and Clark

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	295	475	68	886	1,724	309	208	36	172	725	2,449
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	56.3	77.9	77.9	76.9	73.7	74.8	81.3	100.0	82.6	79.7	75.5
% with cost burden	53.6	74.7	72.1	76.0	71.6	73.5	79.3	77.8	80.2	77.0	73.2
% with severe cost burden	31.9	58.9	36.8	65.9	57.0	41.7	58.7	66.7	48.8	49.5	54.8
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	186	373	60	537	1,156	590	396	88	238	1,312	2,468
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	57.5	55.8	83.3	75.8	66.8	42.7	59.6	88.6	70.6	55.9	61.0
% with cost burden	53.2	52.0	50.0	74.3	62.5	42.0	58.6	71.6	68.9	53.9	57.9
% with severe cost burden	12.9	8.0	0.0	18.6	13.3	17.5	37.9	11.4	24.8	24.5	19.3
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	253	475	120	748	1,596	813	1,193	294	576	2,876	4,472
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.2	14.7	66.7	15.1	21.7	23.1	55.2	52.4	48.8	44.5	36.4
% with cost burden	33.2	9.5	8.3	14.0	15.3	21.9	51.9	47.6	47.4	42.1	32.5
% with severe cost burden	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	7.9	14.7	11.9	13.7	12.3	8.5
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	325	944	119	950	2,338	2,197	6,579	953	1,387	11,116	13,454
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	23.1	3.1	16.0	1.6	5.9	6.7	10.2	12.9	17.1	10.6	9.8
% with cost burden	18.5	1.6	3.4	0.0	3.4	6.3	9.2	8.2	16.5	9.4	8.4
% with severe cost burden	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.9	0.8	0.4	1.8	0.9	1.0
<b>Total Households</b>	1,059	2,267	367	3,121	6,814	3,909	8,376	1,371	2,373	16,029	22,843
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	40.8	29.9	55.0	39.0	37.1	20.9	20.7	28.5	34.9	23.5	27.6
% with cost burden	37.9	26.9	25.3	37.7	33.5	20.3	19.3	22.5	33.9	22.0	25.4
% with severe cost burden	16.8	13.7	6.8	21.9	17.6	8.1	6.0	5.3	10.4	7.1	10.2

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Liberty

	Renter Households				Total Renters	Owner Households				Total Owners	Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other		Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other		
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	12	12	12	8	44	28	38	4	4	74	118
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	66.7	66.7	100.0	50.0	72.7	85.7	78.9	100.0	0.0	78.4	76.3
% with cost burden	33.3	0.0	33.3	50.0	27.3	71.4	57.9	0.0	0.0	56.8	45.8
% with severe cost burden	33.3	0.0	0.0	50.0	18.2	42.9	47.4	0.0	0.0	40.5	32.2
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	16	18	4	12	50	24	28	12	12	76	126
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	44.4	100.0	33.3	48.0	83.3	85.7	66.7	66.7	78.9	66.7
% with cost burden	50.0	22.2	0.0	33.3	32.0	66.7	71.4	33.3	66.7	63.2	50.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	28.6	33.3	66.7	42.1	25.4
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	27	10	8	33	78	54	37	12	18	121	199
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	50.0	12.1	10.3	44.4	62.2	33.3	22.2	45.5	31.7
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.1	5.1	29.6	62.2	0.0	22.2	35.5	23.6
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	10.8	0.0	22.2	9.9	6.0
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	18	44	12	19	93	129	192	44	33	398	491
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	9.1	66.7	0.0	12.9	3.1	14.1	31.8	24.2	13.3	13.2
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	9.1	12.1	6.8	5.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	12.1	2.0	1.6
<b>Total Households</b>	73	84	36	72	265	235	295	72	67	669	934
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	21.9	23.8	77.8	16.7	28.7	30.6	35.3	41.7	29.9	33.8	32.3
% with cost burden	16.4	4.8	11.1	16.7	12.1	22.1	28.5	11.1	23.9	23.9	20.6
% with severe cost burden	5.5	0.0	0.0	5.6	3.0	11.9	11.5	5.6	23.9	12.3	9.6

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Lincoln

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	106	188	48	232	574	183	203	36	136	558	1,132
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	67.0	76.1	83.3	74.6	74.4	65.0	77.8	77.8	74.3	72.8	73.6
% with cost burden	63.2	70.7	83.3	65.9	68.5	57.4	68.0	50.0	63.2	62.2	65.4
% with severe cost burden	41.5	44.1	31.3	44.8	42.9	43.7	54.2	22.2	42.6	45.9	44.3
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	163	103	18	114	398	305	242	56	152	755	1,153
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	45.4	70.9	77.8	51.8	55.3	36.4	57.0	82.1	71.1	53.4	54.0
% with cost burden	45.4	51.5	22.2	48.2	46.7	35.1	52.9	67.9	71.1	50.5	49.2
% with severe cost burden	2.5	3.9	0.0	8.8	4.5	21.0	32.6	53.6	32.2	29.4	20.8
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	68	130	34	160	392	468	445	102	113	1,128	1,520
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	11.8	23.1	44.1	21.9	22.4	31.6	37.1	55.9	43.4	37.1	33.4
% with cost burden	11.8	11.5	0.0	9.4	9.7	29.5	31.5	37.3	39.8	32.0	26.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.6	11.2	3.9	8.8	10.9	8.1
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	58	228	55	114	455	927	1,964	233	359	3,483	3,938
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	12.3	18.2	3.5	9.2	7.8	10.9	22.7	29.0	12.7	12.3
% with cost burden	0.0	3.5	0.0	3.5	2.6	6.3	7.3	14.6	22.0	9.0	8.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	2.7	0.8	6.4	5.3	2.1	2.0
<b>Total Households</b>	395	649	155	620	1,819	1,883	2,854	427	760	5,924	7,743
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	38.7	42.2	51.0	43.7	42.7	23.9	23.7	43.1	47.6	28.2	31.6
% with cost burden	37.7	32.2	28.4	36.6	34.6	21.7	19.3	30.0	41.8	23.7	26.3
% with severe cost burden	12.2	14.0	9.7	18.4	14.7	12.1	8.9	13.3	17.9	11.4	12.2

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Madison

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	36	14	12	43	105	91	47	12	58	208	313
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	71.4	100.0	76.7	69.5	63.7	70.2	66.7	67.2	66.3	67.4
% with cost burden	50.0	71.4	66.7	76.7	65.7	63.7	70.2	66.7	67.2	66.3	66.1
% with severe cost burden	50.0	71.4	33.3	67.4	58.1	42.9	53.2	66.7	50.0	48.6	51.8
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	47	49	20	64	180	131	51	8	23	213	393
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	38.3	69.4	80.0	76.6	65.0	35.1	72.5	50.0	34.8	44.6	53.9
% with cost burden	38.3	69.4	60.0	76.6	62.8	35.1	72.5	50.0	34.8	44.6	52.9
% with severe cost burden	8.5	40.8	20.0	23.4	23.9	16.8	56.9	0.0	17.4	25.8	24.9
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	29	104	18	103	254	146	98	40	42	326	580
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	13.8	18.3	44.4	42.7	29.5	25.3	54.1	65.0	42.9	41.1	36.0
% with cost burden	0.0	14.4	22.2	33.0	20.9	25.3	54.1	55.0	33.3	38.7	30.9
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	1.6	5.5	25.5	10.0	23.8	14.4	8.8
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	58	129	29	129	345	407	692	91	164	1,354	1,699
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	6.9	3.1	13.8	14.7	9.0	11.5	20.5	35.2	32.9	20.3	18.0
% with cost burden	6.9	0.0	0.0	3.1	2.3	10.6	19.4	26.4	32.9	18.8	15.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	7.1	0.0	2.4	4.9	3.9
<b>Total Households</b>	170	296	79	339	884	775	888	151	287	2,101	2,985
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	25.9	22.6	50.6	42.8	33.5	24.3	29.8	46.4	41.5	30.6	31.4
% with cost burden	23.5	19.9	30.4	35.4	27.5	23.7	28.9	38.4	40.1	29.2	28.7
% with severe cost burden	12.9	10.1	10.1	14.2	12.2	10.7	14.4	7.9	16.4	12.9	12.7

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: McCone

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	20	14	0	10	44	30	22	8	12	72	116
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	40.0	71.4	N/A	0.0	40.9	73.3	81.8	50.0	66.7	72.2	60.3
% with cost burden	40.0	71.4	N/A	0.0	40.9	73.3	81.8	50.0	66.7	72.2	60.3
% with severe cost burden	20.0	71.4	N/A	0.0	31.8	46.7	63.6	50.0	33.3	50.0	43.1
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	8	19	4	14	45	45	18	8	4	75	120
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	21.1	0.0	28.6	17.8	44.4	44.4	50.0	0.0	42.7	33.3
% with cost burden	0.0	21.1	0.0	28.6	17.8	44.4	44.4	0.0	0.0	37.3	30.0
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.7	22.2	0.0	0.0	21.3	13.3
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	16	34	4	4	58	50	43	14	12	119	177
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	11.8	100.0	0.0	27.6	40.0	32.6	28.6	33.3	35.3	32.8
% with cost burden	50.0	11.8	0.0	0.0	20.7	40.0	32.6	28.6	33.3	35.3	30.5
% with severe cost burden	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	8.0	9.3	0.0	33.3	10.1	9.0
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	14	35	8	14	71	129	195	41	38	403	474
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	50.0	28.6	11.3	0.0	5.1	39.0	21.1	8.4	8.9
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	5.6	0.0	5.1	19.5	21.1	6.5	6.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.8	10.5	2.0	1.7
<b>Total Households</b>	58	102	16	42	218	254	278	71	66	669	887
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	27.6	17.6	50.0	19.0	22.9	24.4	18.0	39.4	30.3	23.9	23.7
% with cost burden	27.6	17.6	0.0	19.0	19.3	24.4	18.0	22.5	30.3	22.1	21.4
% with severe cost burden	13.8	9.8	0.0	0.0	8.3	11.8	7.9	11.3	18.2	10.8	10.1

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Meagher

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	16	16	4	23	59	34	20	0	12	66	125
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	75.0	100.0	82.6	72.9	64.7	60.0	N/A	100.0	69.7	71.2
% with cost burden	25.0	50.0	0.0	82.6	52.5	64.7	60.0	N/A	100.0	69.7	61.6
% with severe cost burden	0.0	25.0	0.0	82.6	39.0	35.3	40.0	N/A	66.7	42.4	40.8
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	22	14	8	8	52	45	12	0	31	88	140
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	36.4	71.4	50.0	50.0	50.0	35.6	66.7	N/A	51.6	45.5	47.1
% with cost burden	36.4	71.4	50.0	0.0	42.3	26.7	66.7	N/A	51.6	40.9	41.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	33.3	N/A	25.8	18.2	11.4
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	8	8	4	15	35	60	52	16	12	140	175
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	22.9	26.7	53.8	50.0	33.3	40.0	36.6
% with cost burden	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.4	26.7	46.2	25.0	33.3	34.3	29.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	5.7	4.6
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	22	49	10	15	96	117	161	28	28	334	430
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	18.2	8.2	0.0	0.0	8.3	6.8	19.3	14.3	14.3	14.1	12.8
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	16.8	0.0	14.3	10.5	8.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.9
<b>Total Households</b>	68	87	26	61	242	256	245	44	83	628	870
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	35.3	34.5	30.8	37.7	35.1	24.2	32.2	27.3	43.4	30.1	31.5
% with cost burden	23.5	20.7	15.4	31.1	23.6	21.1	29.0	9.1	43.4	26.3	25.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	4.6	0.0	31.1	9.5	7.8	8.2	0.0	19.3	8.9	9.1

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Mineral

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	24	16	12	46	98	24	52	12	48	136	234
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	75.0	66.7	69.6	65.3	50.0	84.6	100.0	60.4	71.3	68.8
% with cost burden	33.3	75.0	66.7	60.9	57.1	50.0	84.6	66.7	52.1	65.4	62.0
% with severe cost burden	33.3	50.0	66.7	52.2	49.0	50.0	76.9	66.7	52.1	62.5	56.8
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	41	29	8	43	121	55	33	12	33	133	254
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	43.9	65.5	100.0	76.7	64.5	36.4	69.7	100.0	69.7	58.6	61.4
% with cost burden	34.1	51.7	50.0	67.4	51.2	36.4	69.7	66.7	57.6	52.6	52.0
% with severe cost burden	9.8	0.0	0.0	34.9	15.7	14.5	45.5	66.7	45.5	34.6	25.6
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	16	43	23	35	117	91	82	16	58	247	364
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	25.0	41.9	82.6	28.6	43.6	40.7	57.3	50.0	50.0	49.0	47.3
% with cost burden	25.0	32.6	17.4	28.6	27.4	40.7	47.6	25.0	43.1	42.5	37.6
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.8	29.3	0.0	17.2	21.1	14.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	8	69	10	54	141	187	363	67	64	681	822
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	14.5	0.0	7.4	9.9	11.8	17.4	17.9	6.3	14.8	14.0
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	2.8	11.8	13.5	6.0	6.3	11.6	10.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.5
<b>Total Households</b>	89	157	53	178	477	357	530	107	203	1,197	1,674
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	38.2	37.6	66.0	44.4	43.4	25.5	33.4	41.1	41.9	33.2	36.1
% with cost burden	29.2	26.1	30.2	39.9	32.3	25.5	29.2	22.4	36.0	28.7	29.7
% with severe cost burden	13.5	5.1	15.1	21.9	14.0	12.9	15.7	15.0	24.6	16.3	15.7

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Missoula

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	424	824	104	2,058	3,410	451	342	41	526	1,360	4,770
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	67.0	84.2	100.0	86.2	83.7	72.5	79.5	80.5	81.9	78.2	82.1
% with cost burden	64.6	81.9	96.2	86.0	82.6	70.7	78.4	80.5	80.4	76.7	80.9
% with severe cost burden	58.7	70.4	72.1	78.2	73.7	52.1	68.4	70.7	68.3	63.0	70.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	442	754	128	1,610	2,934	767	543	103	324	1,737	4,671
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	72.9	84.7	80.5	83.2	81.9	38.9	74.2	90.3	70.7	58.9	73.4
% with cost burden	71.9	81.4	57.0	81.7	79.1	38.3	71.6	86.4	67.6	57.1	70.9
% with severe cost burden	18.8	31.2	10.9	28.6	27.0	16.2	44.9	33.0	44.4	31.4	28.6
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	464	1,135	254	1,850	3,703	1,144	1,328	340	857	3,669	7,372
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.4	44.5	37.0	40.3	42.6	29.2	56.7	61.8	67.3	51.1	46.8
% with cost burden	49.6	39.2	19.3	36.5	37.8	28.0	54.5	48.5	66.9	48.6	43.1
% with severe cost burden	12.9	2.2	0.0	3.2	3.9	10.9	24.1	17.6	30.2	20.8	12.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	462	1,710	219	2,195	4,586	2,831	10,294	1,548	2,414	17,087	21,673
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	27.5	6.1	29.2	8.7	10.6	7.5	14.3	16.3	21.5	14.4	13.6
% with cost burden	18.8	3.5	0.0	5.0	5.6	6.9	13.2	10.9	20.9	13.0	11.5
% with severe cost burden	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.2	1.2	0.3	1.4	1.2	1.1
<b>Total Households</b>	1,792	4,423	705	7,713	14,633	5,193	12,507	2,032	4,121	23,853	38,486
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	54.0	43.9	51.8	52.5	50.0	22.5	23.2	29.0	42.6	26.9	35.7
% with cost burden	50.7	40.6	31.5	50.2	46.4	21.7	21.9	22.4	41.7	25.3	33.4
% with severe cost burden	23.8	19.0	12.6	27.6	23.8	10.0	7.4	6.3	19.3	9.9	15.2



## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Musselshell

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	40	22	0	26	88	42	47	4	44	137	225
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	55.0	63.6	N/A	69.2	61.4	90.5	70.2	100.0	81.8	81.0	73.3
% with cost burden	55.0	63.6	N/A	69.2	61.4	90.5	61.7	100.0	72.7	75.2	69.8
% with severe cost burden	10.0	45.5	N/A	38.5	27.3	66.7	40.4	100.0	63.6	57.7	45.8
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	45	43	28	27	143	94	39	25	12	170	313
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	26.7	41.9	85.7	29.6	43.4	25.5	61.5	100.0	66.7	47.6	45.7
% with cost burden	26.7	41.9	14.3	14.8	26.6	25.5	51.3	60.0	66.7	39.4	33.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	9.3	0.0	14.8	5.6	12.8	25.6	0.0	33.3	15.3	10.9
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	42	34	8	22	106	121	88	16	66	291	397
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	9.5	11.8	50.0	36.4	18.9	18.2	48.9	50.0	24.2	30.6	27.5
% with cost burden	9.5	0.0	50.0	18.2	11.3	18.2	44.3	25.0	18.2	26.5	22.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	11.4	0.0	6.1	7.6	5.5
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	23	65	4	29	121	228	432	68	114	842	963
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	17.4	0.0	0.0	13.8	6.6	3.5	14.4	26.5	12.3	12.1	11.4
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.8	3.3	1.8	13.4	20.6	12.3	10.7	9.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.8	3.3	0.0	0.9	0.0	8.8	1.7	1.9
<b>Total Households</b>	150	164	40	104	458	485	606	113	236	1,440	1,898
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	28.0	22.0	70.0	36.5	31.4	19.0	26.7	48.7	31.4	26.6	27.8
% with cost burden	25.3	19.5	20.0	28.8	23.6	18.1	24.1	32.7	28.0	23.4	23.4
% with severe cost burden	2.7	8.5	0.0	17.3	7.9	9.9	7.1	3.5	19.5	9.8	9.3

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Park

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	142	54	4	213	413	158	88	8	94	348	761
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	65.5	64.8	100.0	83.6	75.1	81.6	84.1	100.0	57.4	76.1	75.6
% with cost burden	62.7	64.8	0.0	83.6	73.1	79.1	84.1	100.0	57.4	75.0	74.0
% with severe cost burden	31.7	64.8	0.0	70.0	55.4	34.8	61.4	100.0	46.8	46.3	51.2
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	122	119	38	188	467	259	99	16	97	471	938
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	38.5	66.4	89.5	60.1	58.5	44.0	49.5	75.0	85.6	54.8	56.6
% with cost burden	35.2	50.4	89.5	55.9	51.8	44.0	49.5	50.0	85.6	53.9	52.9
% with severe cost burden	14.8	21.0	10.5	16.0	16.5	17.0	35.4	25.0	71.1	32.3	24.4
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	87	134	40	223	484	336	268	64	112	780	1,264
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	37.9	41.0	75.0	35.0	40.5	16.7	36.6	53.1	33.0	28.8	33.3
% with cost burden	33.3	33.6	50.0	28.7	32.6	15.5	36.6	31.3	33.0	26.5	28.9
% with severe cost burden	11.5	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.9	7.1	14.6	15.6	16.1	11.7	8.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	113	443	55	355	966	675	1,654	227	403	2,959	3,925
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	15.9	9.7	18.2	11.3	11.5	11.9	14.1	42.7	25.6	17.4	15.9
% with cost burden	0.0	0.9	0.0	2.8	1.4	10.7	12.3	16.7	24.6	14.0	10.9
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.8	1.8	7.2	2.3	1.7
<b>Total Households</b>	464	750	137	979	2,330	1,428	2,109	315	706	4,558	6,888
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	41.2	28.3	56.9	41.8	38.2	26.5	21.6	47.9	39.2	27.7	31.2
% with cost burden	34.7	19.2	39.4	36.5	30.7	25.4	20.2	23.5	38.7	24.9	26.9
% with severe cost burden	15.7	8.0	2.9	18.7	13.7	8.9	7.5	8.3	22.7	10.3	11.5

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Petroleum

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	8	4	0	4	16	16	16	0	8	40	56
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	100.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	50.0	75.0	75.0	N/A	0.0	60.0	57.1
% with cost burden	100.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	50.0	75.0	75.0	N/A	0.0	60.0	57.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	75.0	50.0	N/A	0.0	50.0	35.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	8	12	4	4	28	26	0	0	12	38	66
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	42.9	61.5	N/A	N/A	66.7	63.2	54.5
% with cost burden	50.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	28.6	61.5	N/A	N/A	66.7	63.2	48.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	N/A	N/A	33.3	31.6	18.2
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	0	4	4	4	12	12	8	4	4	28	40
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	50.0	0.0	100.0	42.9	30.0
% with cost burden	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	50.0	0.0	100.0	42.9	30.0
% with severe cost burden	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	0	4	0	4	8	38	35	8	8	89	97
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	9.0	8.2
% with cost burden	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	9.0	8.2
% with severe cost burden	N/A	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	4.5	4.1
<b>Total Households</b>	16	24	8	16	64	92	59	12	32	195	259
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	75.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	31.3	34.8	27.1	33.3	50.0	34.9	34.0
% with cost burden	75.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	25.0	34.8	27.1	33.3	50.0	34.9	32.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.7	13.6	0.0	25.0	18.5	13.9

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Phillips

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	60	47	4	34	145	34	26	28	34	122	267
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	60.0	38.3	0.0	44.1	47.6	70.6	84.6	64.3	70.6	72.1	58.8
% with cost burden	46.7	38.3	0.0	44.1	42.1	58.8	84.6	64.3	70.6	68.9	54.3
% with severe cost burden	23.3	29.8	0.0	44.1	29.7	35.3	69.2	50.0	70.6	55.7	41.6
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	56	44	12	19	131	110	41	16	4	171	302
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	32.1	22.7	66.7	0.0	27.5	23.6	63.4	75.0	0.0	37.4	33.1
% with cost burden	32.1	22.7	33.3	0.0	24.4	23.6	53.7	25.0	0.0	30.4	27.8
% with severe cost burden	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	10.9	19.5	0.0	0.0	11.7	7.9
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	28	49	4	30	111	99	89	36	22	246	357
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	14.3	20.4	0.0	0.0	12.6	10.1	43.8	33.3	36.4	28.0	23.2
% with cost burden	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	10.1	43.8	11.1	36.4	24.8	18.2
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.9	0.0	18.2	7.7	5.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	10	82	30	60	182	225	438	73	79	815	997
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	14.6	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0	5.3	11.0	5.1	4.3	4.7
% with cost burden	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	4.3	0.0	5.1	2.8	2.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<b>Total Households</b>	154	222	50	143	569	468	594	153	139	1,354	1,923
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	37.7	22.5	16.0	10.5	23.0	12.8	18.5	32.7	25.9	18.9	20.1
% with cost burden	32.5	14.4	8.0	10.5	17.8	12.0	17.2	17.0	25.9	16.2	16.7
% with severe cost burden	11.7	6.3	0.0	10.5	8.3	5.1	6.9	9.2	20.1	7.9	8.0

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Pondera

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	50	46	16	55	167	53	54	20	39	166	333
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	52.0	78.3	75.0	65.5	65.9	92.5	74.1	80.0	79.5	81.9	73.9
% with cost burden	36.0	47.8	25.0	50.9	43.1	77.4	59.3	60.0	69.2	67.5	55.3
% with severe cost burden	16.0	8.7	0.0	36.4	19.2	30.2	33.3	40.0	48.7	36.7	27.9
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	26	46	42	28	142	86	40	24	20	170	312
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	30.8	56.5	64.3	50.0	52.8	18.6	80.0	58.3	80.0	45.9	49.0
% with cost burden	30.8	26.1	19.0	50.0	29.6	18.6	70.0	58.3	60.0	41.2	35.9
% with severe cost burden	0.0	8.7	0.0	14.3	5.6	9.3	25.0	0.0	20.0	12.9	9.6
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	32	37	19	48	136	146	93	47	50	336	472
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	12.5	32.4	21.1	29.2	25.0	24.7	57.0	91.5	20.0	42.3	37.3
% with cost burden	12.5	21.6	0.0	8.3	11.8	24.7	48.4	61.7	20.0	35.7	28.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.3	21.5	29.8	0.0	15.5	11.0
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	78	104	34	58	274	300	577	115	64	1,056	1,330
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	5.1	9.6	11.8	13.8	9.5	8.3	14.2	43.5	21.9	16.2	14.8
% with cost burden	5.1	0.0	0.0	6.9	2.9	8.3	11.8	17.4	21.9	12.0	10.2
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.1
<b>Total Households</b>	186	233	111	189	719	585	764	206	173	1,728	2,447
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	22.6	36.1	42.3	38.1	34.1	21.5	27.1	59.7	41.0	30.5	31.5
% with cost burden	18.3	18.0	10.8	26.5	19.2	20.2	22.6	36.4	36.4	24.8	23.2
% with severe cost burden	4.3	3.4	0.0	12.7	5.6	7.2	8.1	10.7	13.3	8.6	7.7

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Powder River

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	8	12	0	14	34	36	14	4	18	72	106
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	66.7	N/A	28.6	47.1	44.4	100.0	100.0	77.8	66.7	60.4
% with cost burden	50.0	66.7	N/A	28.6	47.1	44.4	100.0	100.0	55.6	61.1	56.6
% with severe cost burden	50.0	0.0	N/A	28.6	23.5	22.2	100.0	100.0	55.6	50.0	41.5
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	12	8	0	14	34	22	12	4	8	46	80
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.3	50.0	N/A	28.6	35.3	36.4	66.7	100.0	50.0	52.2	45.0
% with cost burden	33.3	50.0	N/A	28.6	35.3	18.2	66.7	100.0	50.0	43.5	40.0
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	100.0	0.0	17.4	10.0
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	12	30	10	25	77	48	47	12	16	123	200
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	25.5	33.3	75.0	29.3	18.0
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	25.5	33.3	75.0	29.3	18.0
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.5	0.0	50.0	9.8	6.0
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	14	45	10	10	79	91	153	38	29	311	390
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.8	18.3	21.1	13.8	15.4	12.3
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.8	18.3	10.5	13.8	14.1	11.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	0.0	0.0	3.2	2.6
<b>Total Households</b>	46	95	20	63	224	197	226	58	71	552	776
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	17.4	12.6	0.0	12.7	12.5	20.3	27.4	34.5	47.9	28.3	23.7
% with cost burden	17.4	12.6	0.0	12.7	12.5	18.3	27.4	27.6	42.3	26.1	22.2
% with severe cost burden	8.7	0.0	0.0	6.3	3.6	4.1	14.2	13.8	25.4	12.0	9.5

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Powell

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	31	54	4	34	123	40	40	8	32	120	243
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	100.0	74.1	100.0	70.6	80.5	80.0	80.0	100.0	56.3	75.0	77.8
% with cost burden	100.0	74.1	100.0	70.6	80.5	80.0	80.0	100.0	56.3	75.0	77.8
% with severe cost burden	38.7	37.0	100.0	58.8	45.5	55.0	70.0	100.0	43.8	60.0	52.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	45	32	4	24	105	89	52	12	24	177	282
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	73.3	37.5	100.0	83.3	65.7	21.3	63.5	100.0	66.7	45.2	52.8
% with cost burden	73.3	25.0	0.0	83.3	58.1	21.3	63.5	66.7	66.7	42.9	48.6
% with severe cost burden	8.9	0.0	0.0	41.7	13.3	4.5	36.5	33.3	33.3	19.8	17.4
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	33	50	20	18	121	191	93	12	44	340	461
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	12.1	30.0	50.0	22.2	27.3	24.6	46.2	100.0	54.5	37.1	34.5
% with cost burden	12.1	30.0	0.0	0.0	15.7	24.6	46.2	66.7	54.5	35.9	30.6
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.4	4.3	33.3	45.5	13.5	10.0
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	49	150	25	109	333	302	554	138	103	1,097	1,430
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	10.6	16.7	22.3	10.7	8.2
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	9.9	5.8	18.4	8.6	6.6
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	2.7	2.9	3.9	2.5	1.9
<b>Total Households</b>	158	286	53	185	682	622	739	170	203	1,734	2,416
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	43.0	23.4	34.0	25.9	29.5	17.7	22.6	32.4	39.9	23.8	25.4
% with cost burden	43.0	22.0	7.5	23.8	26.2	17.7	22.1	18.8	37.9	22.0	23.2
% with severe cost burden	10.1	7.0	7.5	16.2	10.3	7.7	8.9	11.8	22.7	10.4	10.3

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Prairie

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	16	4	0	4	24	20	22	0	4	46	70
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	0.0	N/A	100.0	50.0	80.0	54.5	N/A	100.0	69.6	62.9
% with cost burden	25.0	0.0	N/A	100.0	33.3	80.0	54.5	N/A	100.0	69.6	57.1
% with severe cost burden	25.0	0.0	N/A	100.0	33.3	40.0	36.4	N/A	100.0	43.5	40.0
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	12	8	0	8	28	43	12	4	12	71	99
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.3	50.0	N/A	50.0	42.9	18.6	66.7	100.0	66.7	39.4	40.4
% with cost burden	33.3	50.0	N/A	50.0	42.9	18.6	66.7	100.0	66.7	39.4	40.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	9.3	33.3	0.0	66.7	22.5	16.2
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	20	15	0	10	45	48	26	4	30	108	153
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	20.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	8.9	8.3	46.2	0.0	53.3	29.6	23.5
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	8.3	46.2	0.0	26.7	22.2	15.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	8.3	30.8	0.0	0.0	11.1	7.8
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	8	24	4	10	46	81	104	18	32	235	281
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	8.7	14.8	13.5	22.2	37.5	17.9	16.4
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.8	13.5	0.0	25.0	14.5	12.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	3.8	0.0	0.0	3.4	2.8
<b>Total Households</b>	56	51	4	32	143	192	164	26	78	460	603
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	28.6	15.7	0.0	25.0	22.4	20.8	28.0	30.8	51.3	29.1	27.5
% with cost burden	14.3	7.8	0.0	25.0	14.0	20.8	28.0	15.4	35.9	25.7	22.9
% with severe cost burden	7.1	0.0	0.0	12.5	5.6	10.4	14.6	0.0	15.4	12.2	10.6



## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Ravalli

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	159	198	36	168	561	220	199	36	148	603	1,164
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	68.6	90.4	100.0	67.3	77.9	70.5	74.9	100.0	76.4	75.1	76.5
% with cost burden	68.6	90.4	88.9	64.9	76.5	70.5	74.9	88.9	73.6	73.8	75.1
% with severe cost burden	43.4	83.3	66.7	50.6	61.1	54.5	55.3	77.8	63.5	58.4	59.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	290	162	49	154	655	474	273	138	159	1,044	1,699
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	72.2	69.4	80.5	64.1	51.7	79.9	89.1	71.7	67.0	65.9
% with cost burden	50.0	67.3	69.4	80.5	62.9	49.6	76.2	75.4	69.2	62.9	62.9
% with severe cost burden	22.4	18.5	30.6	41.6	26.6	26.4	65.9	39.1	34.6	39.7	34.6
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	145	394	134	267	940	622	631	252	308	1,813	2,753
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	44.8	41.9	36.6	38.2	40.5	27.0	54.8	72.2	51.3	47.1	44.9
% with cost burden	44.8	38.1	25.4	38.2	37.3	27.0	52.6	48.8	48.1	42.5	40.8
% with severe cost burden	10.3	2.5	0.0	3.0	3.5	8.8	22.7	17.5	20.8	16.9	12.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	178	589	85	485	1,337	2,033	4,049	570	718	7,370	8,707
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	4.5	4.9	23.5	2.1	5.0	11.7	17.4	33.3	24.1	17.7	15.8
% with cost burden	2.2	0.7	0.0	2.1	1.3	11.0	16.2	21.9	20.8	15.6	13.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	4.3	1.8	2.8	3.4	2.8
<b>Total Households</b>	772	1,343	304	1,074	3,493	3,349	5,152	996	1,333	10,830	14,323
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	42.4	36.5	45.7	32.5	37.4	24.1	27.5	53.3	41.9	30.6	32.2
% with cost burden	41.8	32.9	32.9	32.1	34.6	23.3	26.1	38.6	38.7	27.9	29.6
% with severe cost burden	19.3	15.3	12.8	14.6	15.7	10.3	11.8	13.7	17.5	12.2	13.1

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Richland

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	55	47	4	76	182	92	33	12	38	175	357
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	45.5	48.9	0.0	35.5	41.2	79.3	87.9	66.7	78.9	80.0	60.2
% with cost burden	45.5	40.4	0.0	35.5	39.0	79.3	87.9	66.7	78.9	80.0	59.1
% with severe cost burden	45.5	31.9	0.0	25.0	32.4	42.4	75.8	66.7	52.6	52.6	42.3
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	63	42	19	84	208	152	52	22	32	258	466
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	46.0	76.2	21.1	34.5	45.2	24.3	92.3	100.0	37.5	46.1	45.7
% with cost burden	46.0	66.7	21.1	34.5	43.3	24.3	73.1	81.8	37.5	40.7	41.8
% with severe cost burden	22.2	9.5	0.0	11.9	13.5	2.6	65.4	63.6	37.5	24.8	19.7
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	39	110	10	63	222	224	160	60	68	512	734
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	10.3	9.1	0.0	6.3	8.1	20.1	34.4	33.3	11.8	25.0	19.9
% with cost burden	10.3	9.1	0.0	6.3	8.1	20.1	34.4	16.7	11.8	23.0	18.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	6.3	0.0	5.9	5.1	3.5
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	59	214	30	158	461	455	1,043	214	175	1,887	2,348
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	1.9	0.0	11.4	4.8	4.4	8.0	18.2	14.3	8.9	8.0
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	1.7	2.6	8.0	1.9	14.3	6.6	5.6
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.9	0.9	1.3	0.0	5.7	1.5	1.4
<b>Total Households</b>	216	413	63	381	1,073	923	1,288	308	313	2,832	3,905
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	26.9	16.7	6.3	20.5	19.5	19.0	16.7	28.9	24.0	19.6	19.5
% with cost burden	26.9	13.8	6.3	17.8	17.4	18.1	15.9	13.0	24.0	17.2	17.3
% with severe cost burden	18.1	4.6	0.0	8.7	8.5	6.4	6.4	7.1	14.7	7.4	7.7

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Roosevelt

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	78	159	98	87	422	61	115	38	46	260	682
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	48.7	62.9	54.1	71.3	60.0	77.0	65.2	78.9	58.7	68.8	63.3
% with cost burden	48.7	60.4	43.9	66.7	55.7	77.0	65.2	57.9	58.7	65.8	59.5
% with severe cost burden	17.9	42.8	29.6	57.5	38.2	47.5	43.5	21.1	50.0	42.3	39.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	41	124	73	41	279	150	74	52	33	309	588
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	29.3	40.3	52.1	65.9	45.5	26.7	39.2	51.9	24.2	33.7	39.3
% with cost burden	29.3	40.3	5.5	65.9	33.3	26.7	39.2	15.4	24.2	27.5	30.3
% with severe cost burden	9.8	12.1	0.0	19.5	9.7	12.0	20.3	7.7	12.1	13.3	11.6
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	44	104	54	49	251	141	203	64	54	462	713
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	23.1	53.7	0.0	21.1	15.6	21.2	45.3	25.9	23.4	22.6
% with cost burden	0.0	19.2	7.4	0.0	9.6	15.6	21.2	6.3	25.9	18.0	15.0
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	9.4	6.3	0.0	6.7	4.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	30	119	45	105	299	294	738	175	108	1,315	1,614
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	8.4	44.4	0.0	10.0	4.8	5.8	25.7	7.4	8.4	8.7
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	5.3	0.0	3.7	4.3	3.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2
<b>Total Households</b>	193	506	270	282	1,251	646	1,130	329	241	2,346	3,597
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	25.9	36.4	51.9	31.6	37.0	19.0	16.8	39.8	23.7	21.4	26.8
% with cost burden	25.9	32.8	18.9	30.1	28.1	19.0	16.5	10.3	22.0	16.9	20.8
% with severe cost burden	9.3	16.4	10.7	20.6	15.0	8.5	7.8	4.9	11.2	7.9	10.4

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Rosebud

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	57	90	46	37	230	62	81	39	32	214	444
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	31.6	62.2	91.3	78.4	63.0	77.4	82.7	89.7	68.8	80.4	71.4
% with cost burden	31.6	57.8	69.6	78.4	57.0	71.0	77.8	51.3	56.3	67.8	62.2
% with severe cost burden	24.6	42.2	30.4	78.4	41.3	46.8	72.8	30.8	25.0	50.5	45.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	41	72	36	36	185	90	62	49	26	227	412
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	56.1	59.7	88.9	33.3	59.5	33.3	51.6	83.7	61.5	52.4	55.6
% with cost burden	56.1	54.2	33.3	33.3	46.5	24.4	45.2	44.9	46.2	37.0	41.3
% with severe cost burden	9.8	5.6	11.1	11.1	8.6	8.9	32.3	20.4	15.4	18.5	14.1
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	45	95	35	53	228	97	94	43	22	256	484
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	48.9	26.3	42.9	7.5	28.9	28.9	30.9	55.8	36.4	34.8	32.0
% with cost burden	40.0	10.5	0.0	7.5	14.0	24.7	30.9	0.0	36.4	23.8	19.2
% with severe cost burden	17.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	8.2	14.9	0.0	0.0	8.6	6.2
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	59	201	40	133	433	262	902	215	169	1,548	1,981
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	6.0	37.5	6.0	8.1	6.9	5.8	20.9	11.2	8.7	8.5
% with cost burden	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	5.3	4.2	7.0	8.9	5.3	4.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4
<b>Total Households</b>	202	458	157	259	1,076	511	1,139	346	249	2,245	3,321
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	31.2	29.7	66.2	20.5	33.1	24.3	15.8	41.9	26.1	22.9	26.2
% with cost burden	29.2	22.9	28.0	17.4	23.5	20.4	13.9	16.5	21.3	16.6	18.8
% with severe cost burden	12.9	9.2	11.5	12.7	11.1	8.8	8.9	6.4	4.8	8.0	9.0

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Sanders

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	60	74	8	87	229	110	91	30	88	319	548
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	43.3	73.0	50.0	66.7	62.0	74.5	68.1	53.3	78.4	71.8	67.7
% with cost burden	43.3	73.0	50.0	49.4	55.5	74.5	68.1	53.3	73.9	70.5	64.2
% with severe cost burden	30.0	54.1	0.0	44.8	42.4	40.0	59.3	40.0	51.1	48.6	46.0
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	103	90	8	50	251	264	123	20	76	483	734
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	48.5	62.2	100.0	52.0	55.8	39.8	51.2	60.0	55.3	46.0	49.3
% with cost burden	44.7	57.8	100.0	52.0	52.6	38.3	51.2	40.0	42.1	42.2	45.8
% with severe cost burden	7.8	4.4	50.0	16.0	9.6	16.3	18.7	0.0	31.6	18.6	15.5
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	34	89	18	59	200	282	232	79	73	666	866
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	21.3	44.4	23.7	20.5	17.0	50.4	55.7	39.7	35.7	32.2
% with cost burden	0.0	16.9	22.2	23.7	16.5	12.8	47.0	43.0	39.7	31.2	27.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.8	2.0	5.0	12.9	0.0	26.0	9.5	7.7
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	39	188	25	98	350	513	915	169	211	1,808	2,158
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	10.3	2.1	60.0	4.1	7.7	10.3	14.8	23.1	26.5	15.7	14.4
% with cost burden	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	6.8	12.0	8.3	22.7	11.4	9.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	2.7	2.4	1.9	2.4	2.0
<b>Total Households</b>	236	441	59	294	1,030	1,169	1,361	298	448	3,276	4,306
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.9	30.2	59.3	34.7	34.0	24.6	27.7	37.2	43.8	29.7	30.7
% with cost burden	32.2	27.4	27.1	28.2	28.7	21.7	25.3	24.2	38.8	25.8	26.5
% with severe cost burden	11.0	10.0	6.8	17.3	12.1	9.5	9.7	5.4	20.5	10.7	11.1

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Sheridan

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	16	27	0	27	70	71	28	8	16	123	193
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	85.2	N/A	44.4	61.4	73.2	85.7	100.0	50.0	74.8	69.9
% with cost burden	50.0	85.2	N/A	44.4	61.4	67.6	85.7	50.0	50.0	68.3	65.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	70.4	N/A	29.6	38.6	25.4	71.4	50.0	25.0	37.4	37.8
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	27	19	0	25	71	67	46	16	18	147	218
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	44.4	21.1	N/A	0.0	22.5	34.3	56.5	50.0	44.4	44.2	37.2
% with cost burden	44.4	21.1	N/A	0.0	22.5	34.3	56.5	25.0	44.4	41.5	35.3
% with severe cost burden	14.8	21.1	N/A	0.0	11.3	6.0	26.1	0.0	22.2	13.6	12.8
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	25	45	8	24	102	118	68	14	46	246	348
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	50.0	16.7	7.8	6.8	11.8	28.6	26.1	13.0	11.5
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	11.8	28.6	17.4	9.8	6.9
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	8.7	3.3	2.3
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	24	40	10	30	104	298	398	89	115	900	1,004
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	4.5	4.5	8.7	4.4	4.0
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	2.5	0.0	8.7	2.7	2.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
<b>Total Households</b>	92	131	18	106	347	554	540	127	195	1,416	1,763
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	21.7	20.6	22.2	15.1	19.3	16.4	14.1	18.9	19.5	16.2	16.8
% with cost burden	21.7	20.6	0.0	11.3	17.0	14.3	12.6	9.4	17.4	13.6	14.3
% with severe cost burden	4.3	17.6	0.0	7.5	10.1	4.7	6.7	3.1	6.2	5.5	6.4

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Silver Bow

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	228	349	39	510	1,126	155	145	16	189	505	1,631
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	56.6	75.6	89.7	70.6	70.0	64.5	72.4	100.0	63.0	67.3	69.2
% with cost burden	50.4	75.6	89.7	68.6	67.9	64.5	72.4	75.0	63.0	66.5	67.4
% with severe cost burden	19.7	55.9	64.1	44.1	43.5	54.8	48.3	75.0	39.2	47.7	44.8
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	298	264	70	335	967	504	229	45	110	888	1,855
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	31.2	69.7	85.7	46.3	50.9	33.5	65.5	44.4	77.3	47.7	49.4
% with cost burden	22.8	68.2	71.4	40.3	44.8	33.5	65.5	44.4	77.3	47.7	46.2
% with severe cost burden	11.4	11.4	28.6	6.0	10.8	11.9	45.9	0.0	45.5	24.2	17.2
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	169	369	28	370	936	716	508	219	275	1,718	2,654
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	17.2	26.8	28.6	18.9	22.0	11.3	43.9	54.3	32.7	29.9	27.1
% with cost burden	17.2	14.6	14.3	16.2	15.7	10.8	43.1	36.5	32.7	27.1	23.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	9.8	9.1	3.6	5.8	3.7
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	188	475	40	539	1,242	1,723	3,768	629	939	7,059	8,301
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	17.6	10.5	37.5	0.7	8.2	7.4	9.6	19.7	18.0	11.1	10.7
% with cost burden	17.6	2.1	0.0	0.0	3.5	7.0	8.4	11.0	16.9	9.4	8.5
% with severe cost burden	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	2.3	1.0	0.6	2.1	1.5	1.6
<b>Total Households</b>	883	1,457	177	1,754	4,271	3,098	4,650	909	1,513	10,170	14,441
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	32.2	41.0	66.7	33.6	37.2	15.4	18.1	30.7	30.6	20.3	25.3
% with cost burden	27.7	34.9	50.3	31.1	32.5	15.0	17.0	19.9	29.9	18.6	22.7
% with severe cost burden	12.7	15.4	25.4	14.0	14.7	6.6	5.7	4.0	10.2	6.5	8.9

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Stillwater

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	47	43	4	32	126	90	66	12	51	219	345
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	57.4	32.6	0.0	75.0	51.6	73.3	71.2	66.7	72.5	72.1	64.6
% with cost burden	57.4	32.6	0.0	75.0	51.6	73.3	65.2	66.7	72.5	70.3	63.5
% with severe cost burden	40.4	23.3	0.0	62.5	38.9	42.2	59.1	66.7	52.9	51.1	46.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	36	36	22	33	127	108	52	16	34	210	337
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.3	33.3	54.5	57.6	43.3	37.0	44.2	50.0	76.5	46.2	45.1
% with cost burden	33.3	33.3	36.4	57.6	40.2	37.0	44.2	25.0	76.5	44.3	42.7
% with severe cost burden	11.1	11.1	18.2	0.0	9.4	11.1	28.8	0.0	41.2	19.5	15.7
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	33	73	14	53	173	187	163	16	89	455	628
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	12.1	11.0	28.6	7.5	11.6	20.3	47.9	50.0	43.8	35.8	29.1
% with cost burden	12.1	5.5	0.0	7.5	6.9	20.3	47.9	25.0	43.8	34.9	27.2
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.2	15.3	0.0	16.9	13.0	9.4
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	53	194	40	94	381	345	984	148	141	1,618	1,999
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	7.5	2.1	37.5	4.3	7.1	8.7	12.6	12.2	22.0	12.5	11.5
% with cost burden	7.5	0.0	0.0	4.3	2.1	7.5	10.7	2.7	16.3	9.8	8.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	2.0	2.7	5.7	2.5	2.0
<b>Total Households</b>	169	346	80	212	807	730	1,265	192	315	2,502	3,309
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	27.8	11.0	38.8	24.1	20.7	23.8	21.5	21.9	42.2	24.8	23.8
% with cost burden	27.8	8.7	10.0	24.1	16.9	23.3	19.7	10.4	39.7	22.5	21.2
% with severe cost burden	13.6	4.0	5.0	9.4	7.6	10.5	7.8	6.3	20.3	10.1	9.5



## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Sweet Grass

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	16	4	0	12	32	38	27	4	12	81	113
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	75.0	100.0	N/A	66.7	75.0	68.4	85.2	100.0	66.7	75.3	75.2
% with cost burden	75.0	100.0	N/A	66.7	75.0	68.4	70.4	100.0	66.7	70.4	71.7
% with severe cost burden	50.0	100.0	N/A	33.3	50.0	47.4	70.4	100.0	66.7	60.5	57.5
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	31	16	4	23	74	59	30	18	24	131	205
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	38.7	75.0	100.0	34.8	48.6	40.7	53.3	77.8	41.7	48.9	48.8
% with cost burden	38.7	75.0	100.0	34.8	48.6	40.7	53.3	55.6	41.7	45.8	46.8
% with severe cost burden	12.9	25.0	100.0	17.4	21.6	20.3	26.7	55.6	41.7	30.5	27.3
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	33	40	14	22	109	98	44	12	36	190	299
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	12.1	40.0	28.6	36.4	29.4	24.5	43.2	33.3	61.1	36.3	33.8
% with cost burden	12.1	20.0	0.0	36.4	18.3	24.5	43.2	33.3	50.0	34.2	28.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	10.0	0.0	18.2	7.3	12.2	9.1	33.3	11.1	12.6	10.7
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	29	98	14	53	194	215	368	81	87	751	945
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	8.2	28.6	7.5	8.2	7.4	14.4	32.1	31.0	16.2	14.6
% with cost burden	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	2.1	7.4	12.2	22.2	26.4	13.6	11.2
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	4.1	4.9	4.6	3.6	2.9
<b>Total Households</b>	109	158	32	110	409	410	469	115	159	1,153	1,562
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	25.7	25.3	37.5	25.5	26.4	22.0	23.7	41.7	42.1	27.4	27.1
% with cost burden	25.7	17.7	12.5	21.8	20.5	22.0	21.1	31.3	37.1	24.6	23.6
% with severe cost burden	11.0	7.6	12.5	10.9	9.8	11.2	9.8	19.1	16.4	12.1	11.5

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Teton

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	46	45	20	45	156	63	31	24	26	144	300
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	82.6	82.2	80.0	57.8	75.0	47.6	87.1	66.7	69.2	63.2	69.3
% with cost burden	73.9	64.4	40.0	48.9	59.6	47.6	87.1	50.0	69.2	60.4	60.0
% with severe cost burden	47.8	22.2	40.0	31.1	34.6	25.4	74.2	33.3	53.8	42.4	38.3
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	57	39	16	44	156	92	41	41	16	190	346
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	61.4	51.3	75.0	45.5	55.8	37.0	65.9	90.2	50.0	55.8	55.8
% with cost burden	54.4	41.0	25.0	27.3	40.4	32.6	56.1	56.1	50.0	44.2	42.5
% with severe cost burden	33.3	10.3	0.0	18.2	19.9	8.7	36.6	36.6	25.0	22.1	21.1
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	27	62	12	42	143	138	147	60	43	388	531
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	29.6	19.4	66.7	19.0	25.2	27.5	45.6	50.0	41.9	39.4	35.6
% with cost burden	29.6	6.5	0.0	9.5	11.2	21.7	42.9	36.7	32.6	33.2	27.3
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	23.1	13.3	9.3	13.9	10.2
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	33	97	24	69	223	433	554	122	122	1,231	1,454
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	12.1	8.2	16.7	0.0	7.2	9.9	13.4	9.8	18.0	12.3	11.5
% with cost burden	12.1	4.1	0.0	0.0	3.6	9.0	10.8	3.3	18.0	10.2	9.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	2.7	0.0	6.6	3.0	2.5
<b>Total Households</b>	163	243	72	200	678	726	773	247	207	1,953	2,631
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	52.1	31.7	55.6	27.0	37.8	20.0	25.2	38.5	31.9	25.7	28.8
% with cost burden	47.2	21.8	16.7	19.0	26.5	17.8	22.4	24.7	30.0	21.8	23.0
% with severe cost burden	25.2	5.8	11.1	11.0	12.5	6.3	11.3	12.6	14.5	9.9	10.6

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Toole

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	20	16	8	34	78	41	26	28	18	113	191
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	40.0	75.0	100.0	76.5	69.2	65.9	84.6	85.7	100.0	80.5	75.9
% with cost burden	40.0	50.0	50.0	76.5	59.0	65.9	69.2	71.4	100.0	73.5	67.5
% with severe cost burden	20.0	0.0	50.0	23.5	20.5	19.5	69.2	42.9	100.0	49.6	37.7
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	56	28	12	43	139	72	26	4	24	126	265
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	32.1	64.3	66.7	55.8	48.9	30.6	84.6	0.0	58.3	46.0	47.5
% with cost burden	32.1	64.3	33.3	55.8	46.0	25.0	84.6	0.0	58.3	42.9	44.5
% with severe cost burden	0.0	14.3	0.0	9.3	5.8	5.6	53.8	0.0	41.7	22.2	13.6
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	26	29	22	44	121	130	84	20	34	268	389
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	46.2	13.8	54.5	9.1	26.4	20.8	40.5	60.0	41.2	32.5	30.6
% with cost burden	30.8	0.0	18.2	9.1	13.2	20.8	35.7	20.0	41.2	28.0	23.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	17.9	0.0	11.8	8.6	5.9
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	37	103	25	54	219	237	512	92	69	910	1,129
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	10.8	7.8	0.0	0.0	5.5	9.3	8.2	13.0	20.3	9.9	9.0
% with cost burden	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	1.8	9.3	5.5	8.7	14.5	7.5	6.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	4.3	0.0	1.3	1.1
<b>Total Households</b>	139	176	67	175	557	480	648	144	145	1,417	1,974
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	30.2	23.9	41.8	30.9	29.8	20.4	18.5	33.3	41.4	23.0	24.9
% with cost burden	24.5	17.0	17.9	30.9	23.3	19.6	15.1	22.2	38.6	19.8	20.8
% with severe cost burden	2.9	2.3	6.0	6.9	4.3	3.3	8.5	11.1	22.1	8.4	7.2

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Treasure

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	16	8	4	8	36	12	8	4	4	28	64
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	33.3	66.7	50.0	100.0	100.0	71.4	50.0
% with cost burden	25.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	22.2	66.7	50.0	100.0	100.0	71.4	43.8
% with severe cost burden	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	50.0	0.0	100.0	42.9	25.0
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	4	19	8	4	35	20	4	4	4	32	67
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	21.1	50.0	0.0	22.9	80.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	62.5	41.8
% with cost burden	0.0	21.1	50.0	0.0	22.9	80.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	62.5	41.8
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	23.9
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	8	4	4	10	26	16	22	16	8	62	88
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	30.8	50.0	63.6	75.0	50.0	61.3	52.3
% with cost burden	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	50.0	63.6	25.0	50.0	48.4	38.6
% with severe cost burden	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	9.1
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	4	20	4	4	32	58	96	10	14	178	210
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	16.7	0.0	0.0	11.2	9.5
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	8.3	0.0	0.0	6.7	5.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.9
<b>Total Households</b>	32	51	20	26	129	106	130	34	30	300	429
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	37.5	7.8	40.0	15.4	21.7	34.0	26.2	58.8	26.7	32.7	29.4
% with cost burden	25.0	7.8	20.0	15.4	15.5	34.0	20.0	35.3	26.7	27.3	23.8
% with severe cost burden	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.2	18.9	6.2	11.8	13.3	12.0	10.3

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Valley

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	74	39	8	45	166	114	43	20	27	204	370
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	59.5	89.7	50.0	44.4	62.0	66.7	55.8	80.0	44.4	62.7	62.4
% with cost burden	59.5	89.7	0.0	44.4	59.6	66.7	55.8	60.0	44.4	60.8	60.3
% with severe cost burden	40.5	64.1	0.0	44.4	45.2	19.3	55.8	60.0	29.6	32.4	38.1
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	43	55	10	33	141	127	62	30	25	244	385
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	55.8	54.5	0.0	87.9	58.9	40.9	43.5	53.3	40.0	43.0	48.8
% with cost burden	55.8	54.5	0.0	87.9	58.9	40.9	43.5	26.7	40.0	39.8	46.8
% with severe cost burden	23.3	0.0	0.0	12.1	9.9	22.0	30.6	13.3	0.0	20.9	16.9
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	34	45	53	120	252	208	84	31	60	383	635
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	29.4	0.0	15.1	29.2	21.0	16.3	46.4	38.7	50.0	30.0	26.5
% with cost burden	29.4	0.0	7.5	29.2	19.4	16.3	46.4	25.8	50.0	29.0	25.2
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	23.8	25.8	16.7	11.0	6.6
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	29	79	35	74	217	506	880	129	92	1,607	1,824
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	5.1	28.6	0.0	6.5	2.4	8.0	10.9	13.0	6.7	6.7
% with cost burden	0.0	5.1	0.0	0.0	1.8	2.4	6.3	3.1	8.7	4.9	4.6
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.7	0.0	4.3	1.4	1.3
<b>Total Households</b>	180	218	106	272	776	955	1,069	210	204	2,438	3,214
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	43.3	31.7	20.8	30.9	32.6	18.2	15.0	27.6	31.4	18.7	22.1
% with cost burden	43.3	31.7	3.8	30.9	30.3	18.2	13.6	15.2	29.4	16.9	20.1
% with severe cost burden	22.2	11.5	0.0	8.8	11.5	6.1	7.3	11.4	10.8	7.5	8.4

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Wheatland

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	20	8	4	8	40	30	22	12	20	84	124
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	60.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	70.0	73.3	81.8	66.7	60.0	71.4	71.0
% with cost burden	60.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	70.0	73.3	81.8	66.7	60.0	71.4	71.0
% with severe cost burden	20.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	30.0	26.7	63.6	66.7	40.0	45.2	40.3
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	22	10	8	22	62	45	22	0	18	85	147
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	36.4	0.0	50.0	63.6	41.9	35.6	63.6	N/A	44.4	44.7	43.5
% with cost burden	18.2	0.0	50.0	45.5	29.0	35.6	63.6	N/A	44.4	44.7	38.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.8	45.5	N/A	22.2	25.9	15.0
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	27	20	8	20	75	88	44	20	12	164	239
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	14.8	0.0	50.0	0.0	10.7	22.7	31.8	60.0	33.3	30.5	24.3
% with cost burden	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	22.7	31.8	20.0	33.3	25.6	19.2
% with severe cost burden	14.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	4.5	9.1	0.0	0.0	4.9	5.0
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	19	45	4	15	83	97	157	31	48	333	416
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	7.6	38.7	16.7	12.0	9.6
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	5.1	38.7	8.3	9.6	7.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	2.5	12.9	8.3	4.8	3.8
<b>Total Households</b>	88	83	24	65	260	260	245	63	98	666	926
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	27.3	9.6	50.0	27.7	23.8	25.4	23.7	50.8	32.7	28.2	27.0
% with cost burden	22.7	9.6	33.3	21.5	19.2	25.4	22.0	38.1	28.6	25.8	24.0
% with severe cost burden	9.1	4.8	0.0	6.2	6.2	9.2	13.1	19.0	16.3	12.6	10.8

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Wibaux

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	12	12	0	12	36	16	4	4	8	32	68
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.3	66.7	N/A	33.3	44.4	75.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	75.0	58.8
% with cost burden	33.3	66.7	N/A	33.3	44.4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	62.5	52.9
% with severe cost burden	0.0	33.3	N/A	33.3	22.2	25.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	37.5	29.4
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	12	10	4	8	34	22	18	4	4	48	82
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	33.3	0.0	0.0	50.0	23.5	54.5	44.4	0.0	0.0	41.7	34.1
% with cost burden	33.3	0.0	0.0	50.0	23.5	54.5	44.4	0.0	0.0	41.7	34.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.4	22.2	0.0	0.0	25.0	14.6
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	8	10	0	12	30	26	20	18	8	72	102
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	N/A	33.3	13.3	46.2	60.0	22.2	0.0	38.9	31.4
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	N/A	33.3	13.3	46.2	60.0	22.2	0.0	38.9	31.4
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	N/A	0.0	0.0	30.8	40.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	15.7
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	4	10	4	19	37	67	87	24	14	192	229
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	13.8	16.7	0.0	12.5	10.5
% with cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	9.2	16.7	0.0	10.4	8.7
% with severe cost burden	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.9	4.6	16.7	0.0	8.3	7.0
<b>Total Households</b>	36	42	8	51	137	131	129	50	34	344	481
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	22.2	19.0	0.0	23.5	20.4	33.6	27.9	24.0	11.8	27.9	25.8
% with cost burden	22.2	19.0	0.0	23.5	20.4	33.6	24.8	16.0	11.8	25.6	24.1
% with severe cost burden	0.0	9.5	0.0	7.8	5.8	21.4	15.5	8.0	11.8	16.3	13.3

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

COUNTY NAME: Yellowstone

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	733	1,134	183	1,273	3,323	901	470	111	434	1,916	5,239
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	53.8	82.8	76.0	80.0	74.9	72.9	74.5	82.9	81.6	75.8	75.3
% with cost burden	51.2	82.5	65.0	77.7	72.8	72.5	74.5	70.3	81.6	74.9	73.5
% with severe cost burden	38.9	63.1	54.6	59.2	55.8	48.2	66.0	66.7	65.4	57.5	56.4
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	835	1,095	124	1,375	3,429	1,558	728	228	628	3,142	6,571
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	65.3	68.5	87.9	67.6	68.1	39.3	76.6	80.3	68.2	56.7	62.6
% with cost burden	62.9	65.3	67.7	64.7	64.6	39.1	74.7	76.8	67.5	55.8	60.4
% with severe cost burden	17.4	15.1	12.1	10.5	13.7	14.1	50.0	52.6	40.6	30.5	21.7
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	573	1,429	234	1,498	3,734	2,168	2,003	639	870	5,680	9,414
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	46.8	26.9	36.3	22.2	28.7	21.4	52.8	55.4	52.9	41.1	36.2
% with cost burden	44.2	17.1	10.7	18.6	21.4	21.4	50.6	42.1	51.7	38.6	31.8
% with severe cost burden	12.0	0.3	0.0	0.9	2.3	7.7	13.4	7.7	9.8	10.1	7.0
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	644	2,330	309	2,238	5,521	5,159	14,790	2,419	2,929	25,297	30,818
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	17.7	5.8	20.7	2.6	6.7	6.3	9.8	11.9	14.5	9.8	9.3
% with cost burden	16.1	1.5	4.5	0.6	3.0	5.9	9.2	7.4	14.0	8.9	7.9
% with severe cost burden	11.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.8	0.8	0.4	1.2	0.8	0.9
<b>Total Households</b>	2,785	5,988	850	6,384	16,007	9,786	17,991	3,397	4,861	36,035	52,042
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	47.4	36.9	46.7	36.6	39.1	21.0	19.0	27.0	34.3	22.3	27.5
% with cost burden	45.1	32.2	28.5	34.0	35.0	20.7	18.2	20.6	33.7	21.2	25.4
% with severe cost burden	20.6	14.8	13.5	14.3	15.5	8.8	5.9	7.4	13.6	7.9	10.2



## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

CITY NAME: Billings

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	566	985	157	1,112	2,820	582	221	55	243	1,101	3,921
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	61.1	83.6	81.5	79.9	77.5	71.5	71.9	92.7	84.4	75.5	76.9
% with cost burden	58.5	83.6	69.4	77.7	75.4	71.5	71.9	85.5	84.4	75.1	75.3
% with severe cost burden	44.0	64.6	59.2	57.4	57.3	50.3	65.6	85.5	63.8	58.1	57.5
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	656	908	70	1,183	2,817	1,033	371	78	400	1,882	4,699
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	71.0	72.1	94.3	67.9	70.6	41.9	75.5	75.6	64.8	54.8	64.3
% with cost burden	69.2	67.7	88.6	65.1	67.5	41.5	74.4	65.4	64.8	53.9	62.1
% with severe cost burden	20.0	17.3	14.3	9.7	14.7	13.9	50.4	28.2	39.8	27.2	19.7
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	527	1,108	181	1,309	3,125	1,507	1,145	299	587	3,538	6,663
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	49.7	29.5	33.1	22.0	30.0	23.8	53.7	56.5	54.3	41.3	36.0
% with cost burden	46.9	19.1	13.3	18.3	23.1	23.8	51.8	43.1	54.3	39.5	31.8
% with severe cost burden	14.0	0.4	0.0	1.1	2.9	7.9	12.7	8.0	4.4	8.9	6.1
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	537	1,786	245	1,921	4,489	3,958	9,499	1,447	2,187	17,091	21,580
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	19.6	7.1	23.7	2.9	7.7	5.2	7.9	7.4	13.5	7.9	7.9
% with cost burden	17.7	1.5	4.1	0.7	3.3	5.0	7.5	5.6	13.5	7.5	6.6
% with severe cost burden	13.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.7
<b>Total Households</b>	2,286	4,787	653	5,525	13,251	7,080	11,236	1,879	3,417	23,612	36,863
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	51.6	40.4	47.8	36.8	41.2	20.0	16.0	20.5	31.6	19.8	27.5
% with cost burden	49.3	35.0	31.4	34.2	36.9	19.8	15.5	16.4	31.6	19.2	25.5
% with severe cost burden	23.1	16.6	15.8	13.9	16.6	8.0	4.7	5.2	10.5	6.6	10.2

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

CITY NAME: Great Falls

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Small Elderly	Large Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	434	690	96	701	1,921	244	133	12	116	505	2,426
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	67.5	62.2	72.9	73.3	68.0	83.2	73.7	100.0	81.0	80.6	70.6
% with cost burden	66.6	60.4	58.3	73.3	66.4	83.2	73.7	66.7	81.0	79.8	69.2
% with severe cost burden	52.5	47.5	39.6	57.2	51.8	54.1	63.2	33.3	74.1	60.6	53.6
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	449	531	97	674	1,751	434	261	101	180	976	2,727
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	57.9	61.2	85.6	65.6	63.4	28.3	80.1	92.1	58.9	54.4	60.2
% with cost burden	54.3	60.5	57.7	63.5	59.9	28.3	80.1	92.1	53.3	53.4	57.6
% with severe cost burden	12.5	18.3	14.4	9.2	13.1	13.4	53.6	36.6	40.0	31.5	19.7
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	287	642	179	633	1,741	906	752	121	348	2,127	3,868
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	53.3	31.3	45.8	17.9	31.5	24.6	55.6	80.2	61.8	44.8	38.8
% with cost burden	53.3	17.8	18.4	12.5	21.8	24.6	54.5	80.2	61.8	44.4	34.2
% with severe cost burden	20.6	2.8	0.0	0.0	4.4	3.5	15.8	16.5	23.6	11.9	8.5
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	467	1,091	76	1,310	2,944	2,855	5,845	963	1,301	10,964	13,908
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	18.4	6.6	10.5	4.0	7.4	5.5	10.9	11.5	19.5	10.6	9.9
% with cost burden	13.1	0.0	0.0	2.3	3.1	5.3	9.8	5.4	19.2	9.4	8.0
% with severe cost burden	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.4	0.9	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.8
<b>Total Households</b>	1,637	2,954	448	3,318	8,357	4,439	6,991	1,197	1,945	14,572	22,929
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	48.4	34.8	54.2	33.8	38.1	15.9	19.5	26.1	34.4	20.9	27.2
% with cost burden	45.6	28.8	32.4	31.7	33.4	15.8	18.5	20.9	33.7	19.9	24.8
% with severe cost burden	22.4	15.0	11.6	14.0	15.9	5.3	5.6	5.9	12.9	6.5	9.9

## HOUSING PROBLEMS TABLES

CITY NAME: Missoula

	Renter Households					Owner Households					Total Households
	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Renters	Elderly	Small Related	Large Related	Other	Total Owners	
<b>Households with Income &lt;=30% MFI</b>	389	695	76	1,873	3,033	201	135	18	248	602	3,635
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	69.4	83.6	100.0	87.6	84.6	78.6	82.2	100.0	82.3	81.6	84.1
% with cost burden	66.8	81.6	94.7	87.6	83.7	78.6	82.2	100.0	82.3	81.6	83.4
% with severe cost burden	60.7	71.8	81.6	79.4	75.3	59.2	79.3	100.0	68.1	68.6	74.2
<b>Households with Income 31% to 50% MFI</b>	413	564	70	1,415	2,462	413	275	50	171	909	3,371
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	72.4	89.0	80.0	85.8	84.1	37.5	76.7	100.0	86.5	62.0	78.2
% with cost burden	72.4	84.8	54.3	84.4	81.6	37.5	76.7	100.0	86.5	62.0	76.3
% with severe cost burden	18.6	36.5	0.0	28.8	28.0	12.1	44.7	20.0	54.4	30.4	28.7
<b>Households with Income 51 to 80% MFI</b>	374	763	162	1,642	2,941	626	463	133	502	1,724	4,665
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	49.5	46.1	32.1	40.4	42.6	29.4	62.6	45.9	73.3	52.4	46.2
% with cost burden	49.5	39.2	16.7	36.4	37.7	27.8	59.6	38.3	73.3	50.4	42.4
% with severe cost burden	16.0	3.1	0.0	2.7	4.4	8.3	28.9	10.5	28.5	19.9	10.1
<b>Households with Income &gt;80% MFI</b>	380	1,077	150	1,809	3,416	1,656	5,017	636	1,511	8,820	12,236
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	31.8	7.9	38.7	8.8	12.4	4.7	12.2	18.4	23.4	13.2	12.9
% with cost burden	20.0	4.9	0.0	5.5	6.7	4.5	11.8	14.8	22.8	12.5	10.9
% with severe cost burden	9.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.6	1.2	0.0	0.7	0.9	0.9
<b>Total Households</b>	1,556	3,099	458	6,739	11,852	2,896	5,890	837	2,432	12,055	23,907
% with cost burden/overcrowding/lacking facilities	56.2	49.0	52.8	54.6	53.3	19.9	20.8	29.4	44.2	25.9	39.5
% with cost burden	52.7	45.1	29.9	52.4	49.6	19.4	20.2	25.4	43.8	25.1	37.3
% with severe cost burden	26.2	23.5	13.5	28.8	26.5	8.0	7.2	5.0	17.1	9.2	17.8

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

State: Montana

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	9,019	9,102	11,995	30,116					
% occupants <=30%	48.3	32.8	23.0	33.5					
% built before 1970	52.4	50.9	53.9	52.5					not applicable
% some problem	29.9	18.2	14.5	20.2					
# vacant for rent	1,100	1,097	733	2,930					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	13,809	16,047	9,796	39,652	# occupied units	5,037	21,051	37,006	63,094
% occupants <=50%	55.8	40.0	34.2	44.1	% occupants <=50%	42.3	33.3	22.7	27.8
% built before 1970	66.1	52.8	53.6	57.6	% built before 1970	57.5	51.2	47.7	49.6
% some problem	47.9	38.3	31.5	40.0	% some problem	38.6	26.5	24.2	26.1
# vacant for rent	2,008	1,932	870	4,810	# vacant for sale	720	1,298	1,508	3,526
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	10,048	16,957	11,047	38,052	# occupied units	3,053	16,145	52,524	71,722
% occupants <=80%	69.8	53.4	47.1	55.9	% occupants <=80%	58.0	44.9	27.2	32.5
% built before 1970	51.1	45.5	47.4	47.5	% built before 1970	63.7	68.0	54.1	57.6
% some problem	56.2	40.8	39.9	44.6	% some problem	42.6	25.9	20.4	22.6
# vacant for rent	552	609	450	1,611	# vacant for sale	188	661	847	1,696
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	2,083	1,680	1,934	5,697	# occupied units	5,570	23,356	88,792	117,718
# vacant for rent	260	58	66	384	# vacant for sale	379	700	1,373	2,452

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Beaverhead

Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	150	122	232	504					
% occupants <=30%	51.3	29.5	5.2	24.8					
% built before 1970	37.3	46.7	63.4	51.6					not applicable
% some problem	38.7	32.0	1.7	20.0					
# vacant for rent	18	22	14	54					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	187	150	102	439	# occupied units	79	200	325	604
% occupants <=50%	61.0	62.0	27.5	53.5	% occupants <=50%	39.2	31.5	25.5	29.3
% built before 1970	60.4	43.3	62.7	55.1	% built before 1970	60.8	63.5	45.8	53.6
% some problem	45.5	49.3	30.4	43.3	% some problem	62.0	24.0	20.6	27.2
# vacant for rent	28	53	4	85	# vacant for sale	12	16	16	44
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	93	169	102	364	# occupied units	42	163	564	769
% occupants <=80%	68.8	68.6	55.9	65.1	% occupants <=80%	71.4	50.9	20.0	29.4
% built before 1970	58.1	71.6	46.1	61.0	% built before 1970	61.9	67.5	52.0	55.8
% some problem	31.2	66.9	37.3	49.5	% some problem	33.3	35.0	13.7	19.2
# vacant for rent	10	4	0	14	# vacant for sale	4	8	8	20
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	4	26	34	# occupied units	24	181	807	1,012
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	8	12	8	28

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: BigHorn

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	159	142	387	688					
% occupants <=30%	41.5	18.3	19.4	24.3					
% built before 1970	42.8	50.0	38.5	41.9					
% some problem	43.4	24.6	25.1	29.2					
# vacant for rent	12	12	22	46					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	78	160	171	409	# occupied units	63	346	881	1,290
% occupants <=50%	70.5	33.8	24.0	36.7	% occupants <=50%	19.0	44.5	31.7	34.5
% built before 1970	65.4	55.6	43.3	52.3	% built before 1970	47.6	54.0	43.2	46.4
% some problem	59.0	44.4	29.2	40.8	% some problem	50.8	36.1	29.9	32.6
# vacant for rent	15	14	4	33	# vacant for sale	12	22	16	50
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	86	109	86	281	# occupied units	26	170	554	750
% occupants <=80%	51.2	55.0	33.7	47.3	% occupants <=80%	0.0	51.2	44.8	44.7
% built before 1970	67.4	50.5	47.7	54.8	% built before 1970	15.4	54.7	43.5	45.1
% some problem	74.4	46.8	23.3	48.0	% some problem	15.4	30.6	31.4	30.7
# vacant for rent	10	4	14	28	# vacant for sale	0	8	14	22
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	8	16	12	36	# occupied units	24	115	371	510
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	0	4	0	4

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Blaine

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	106	170	307	583					
% occupants <=30%	39.6	37.6	34.5	36.4					
% built before 1970	42.5	34.7	42.7	40.3					
% some problem	28.3	30.6	31.6	30.7					
# vacant for rent	23	16	20	59					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	55	96	124	275	# occupied units	52	271	563	886
% occupants <=50%	36.4	35.4	29.0	32.7	% occupants <=50%	38.5	34.7	25.4	29.0
% built before 1970	54.5	75.0	47.6	58.5	% built before 1970	38.5	81.2	43.3	54.6
% some problem	32.7	34.4	37.9	35.6	% some problem	30.8	25.8	20.2	22.6
# vacant for rent	8	4	4	16	# vacant for sale	12	12	20	44
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	34	50	50	134	# occupied units	24	101	321	446
% occupants <=80%	47.1	48.0	64.0	53.7	% occupants <=80%	50.0	57.4	38.9	43.7
% built before 1970	76.5	88.0	56.0	73.1	% built before 1970	50.0	69.3	49.2	53.8
% some problem	41.2	66.0	32.0	47.0	% some problem	58.3	25.7	31.5	31.6
# vacant for rent	8	0	0	8	# vacant for sale	0	8	12	20
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	12	4	8	24	# occupied units	24	34	269	327
# vacant for rent	4	0	4	8	# vacant for sale	0	0	0	0

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Broadwater

Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	30	42	46	118					
% occupants <=30%	26.7	33.3	8.7	22.0					
% built before 1970	60.0	57.1	78.3	66.1					not applicable
% some problem	26.7	19.0	0.0	13.6					
# vacant for rent	4	4	14	22					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	44	46	28	118	# occupied units	32	81	211	324
% occupants <=50%	40.9	26.1	42.9	35.6	% occupants <=50%	37.5	43.2	22.7	29.3
% built before 1970	31.8	26.1	53.6	34.7	% built before 1970	62.5	50.6	30.3	38.6
% some problem	31.8	17.4	28.6	25.4	% some problem	62.5	39.5	39.3	41.7
# vacant for rent	4	8	4	16	# vacant for sale	4	12	8	24
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	24	44	34	102	# occupied units	12	98	318	428
% occupants <=80%	66.7	40.9	76.5	58.8	% occupants <=80%	66.7	54.1	28.3	35.3
% built before 1970	33.3	45.5	82.4	54.9	% built before 1970	66.7	57.1	33.6	40.0
% some problem	33.3	34.1	70.6	46.1	% some problem	66.7	18.4	23.3	23.4
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	12	16
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	20	12	36	# occupied units	34	91	481	606
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	8	8



## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Carbon

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	77	112	142	331					
% occupants <=30%	15.6	21.4	11.3	15.7					
% built before 1970	62.3	51.8	66.2	60.4					not applicable
% some problem	10.4	14.3	5.6	9.7					
# vacant for rent	18	8	8	34					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	131	131	148	410	# occupied units	72	259	344	675
% occupants <=50%	45.8	39.7	29.7	38.0	% occupants <=50%	33.3	41.7	31.1	35.4
% built before 1970	59.5	72.5	65.5	65.9	% built before 1970	55.6	48.3	45.3	47.6
% some problem	37.4	29.0	28.4	31.5	% some problem	16.7	18.5	24.7	21.5
# vacant for rent	16	35	16	67	# vacant for sale	12	16	16	44
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	138	169	135	442	# occupied units	36	239	341	616
% occupants <=80%	60.1	59.8	34.1	52.0	% occupants <=80%	66.7	43.5	38.7	42.2
% built before 1970	72.5	67.5	59.3	66.5	% built before 1970	83.3	60.3	58.9	60.9
% some problem	39.1	44.4	28.1	37.8	% some problem	22.2	28.5	18.8	22.7
# vacant for rent	15	14	4	33	# vacant for sale	8	16	16	40
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	16	22	15	53	# occupied units	96	562	1,391	2,049
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	16	16	28	60

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

County: Carter

Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	20	32	68	120					
% occupants <=30%	20.0	37.5	17.6	23.3					
% built before 1970	80.0	87.5	57.4	69.2					not applicable
% some problem	20.0	0.0	0.0	3.3					
# vacant for rent	4	4	4	12					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	4	12	24	40	# occupied units	12	84	149	245
% occupants <=50%	100.0	0.0	66.7	50.0	% occupants <=50%	33.3	42.9	25.5	31.8
% built before 1970	0.0	100.0	66.7	70.0	% built before 1970	66.7	67.9	51.7	58.0
% some problem	0.0	0.0	50.0	30.0	% some problem	33.3	4.8	20.1	15.5
# vacant for rent	4	4	0	8	# vacant for sale	8	18	12	38
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	4	8	12	# occupied units	0	16	42	58
% occupants <=80%	N/A	100.0	50.0	66.7	% occupants <=80%	N/A	25.0	38.1	34.5
% built before 1970	N/A	100.0	100.0	100.0	% built before 1970	N/A	75.0	38.1	48.3
% some problem	N/A	100.0	0.0	33.3	% some problem	N/A	0.0	9.5	6.9
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	0	0
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	0	0	0	# occupied units	4	38	122	164
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	4	8

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Cascade

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	1,056	980	1,328	3,364					
% occupants <=30%	42.1	34.1	20.4	31.2					
% built before 1970	67.9	61.1	73.0	68.0					not applicable
% some problem	28.0	17.0	9.9	17.7					
# vacant for rent	62	66	52	180					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	1,631	1,593	654	3,878	# occupied units	397	1,467	1,874	3,738
% occupants <=50%	58.5	37.4	38.5	46.4	% occupants <=50%	43.8	29.7	22.6	27.7
% built before 1970	75.3	67.2	65.7	70.3	% built before 1970	58.9	52.8	54.9	54.5
% some problem	45.9	36.1	33.8	39.8	% some problem	46.6	25.2	30.0	29.9
# vacant for rent	150	203	76	429	# vacant for sale	44	58	63	165
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	938	1,657	934	3,529	# occupied units	239	1,652	6,371	8,262
% occupants <=80%	66.0	53.9	43.5	54.3	% occupants <=80%	49.4	39.2	24.3	28.0
% built before 1970	57.7	57.2	70.6	60.9	% built before 1970	79.1	79.7	77.3	77.8
% some problem	56.1	37.5	36.5	42.2	% some problem	35.6	27.3	21.2	22.9
# vacant for rent	34	20	82	136	# vacant for sale	24	107	96	227
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	274	100	129	503	# occupied units	388	2,043	6,548	8,979
# vacant for rent	40	0	14	54	# vacant for sale	51	44	114	209

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Chouteau

Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	64	114	319	497					
% occupants <=30%	34.4	21.1	19.4	21.7					
% built before 1970	43.8	52.6	48.0	48.5					not applicable
% some problem	6.3	14.0	12.5	12.1					
# vacant for rent	10	16	4	30					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	24	85	82	191	# occupied units	40	204	431	675
% occupants <=50%	33.3	28.2	19.5	25.1	% occupants <=50%	70.0	31.4	20.4	26.7
% built before 1970	112.5	69.4	58.5	70.2	% built before 1970	85.0	76.0	65.9	70.1
% some problem	41.7	25.9	19.5	25.1	% some problem	70.0	24.5	26.0	28.1
# vacant for rent	19	8	8	35	# vacant for sale	12	32	24	68
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	16	41	32	89	# occupied units	12	133	416	561
% occupants <=80%	50.0	43.9	75.0	56.2	% occupants <=80%	66.7	39.1	31.7	34.2
% built before 1970	50.0	75.6	62.5	66.3	% built before 1970	100.0	72.2	61.8	65.1
% some problem	50.0	29.3	50.0	40.4	% some problem	33.3	18.0	22.1	21.4
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	4	0	8	12
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	8	4	4	16	# occupied units	16	92	395	503
# vacant for rent	0	4	0	4	# vacant for sale	0	20	12	32

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Custer

County: Custer	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
Rent <= 30%					Value <= 30%				
# occupied units	121	92	109	322	not applicable				
% occupants <=30%	35.5	8.7	12.8	20.2					
% built before 1970	71.9	63.0	54.1	63.4					
% some problem	33.1	4.3	0.0	13.7					
# vacant for rent	30	19	4	53					
Rent 31-50%					Value <= 50%				
# occupied units	178	379	156	713	# occupied units	118	421	1,154	1,693
% occupants <=50%	55.6	42.2	34.6	43.9	% occupants <=50%	47.5	34.7	17.9	24.1
% built before 1970	84.3	52.8	62.2	62.7	% built before 1970	68.6	67.0	67.0	67.1
% some problem	52.2	28.2	35.9	35.9	% some problem	43.2	20.4	18.4	20.6
# vacant for rent	51	51	4	106	# vacant for sale	16	16	32	64
Rent 51-80%					Value 51-80%				
# occupied units	69	77	107	253	# occupied units	12	129	764	905
% occupants <=80%	49.3	15.6	32.7	32.0	% occupants <=80%	33.3	38.8	22.0	24.5
% built before 1970	79.7	67.5	57.0	66.4	% built before 1970	83.3	72.1	61.8	63.5
% some problem	66.7	10.4	29.0	33.6	% some problem	83.3	23.3	18.8	20.3
# vacant for rent	0	10	0	10	# vacant for sale	8	4	8	20
Rent >80%					Value >80%				
# occupied units	8	14	10	32	# occupied units	24	122	549	695
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	8	8

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Daniels

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	20	52	72	144					
% occupants <=30%	40.0	26.9	11.1	20.8					
% built before 1970	60.0	84.6	81.9	79.9					not applicable
% some problem	20.0	7.7	5.6	8.3					
# vacant for rent	10	8	0	18					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	16	20	32	68	# occupied units	28	127	343	498
% occupants <=50%	50.0	40.0	37.5	41.2	% occupants <=50%	57.1	39.4	23.0	29.1
% built before 1970	25.0	80.0	81.3	67.6	% built before 1970	78.6	72.4	75.2	74.7
% some problem	25.0	40.0	25.0	29.4	% some problem	14.3	15.7	21.6	19.7
# vacant for rent	0	4	0	4	# vacant for sale	4	18	4	26
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	12	12	8	32	# occupied units	4	32	105	141
% occupants <=80%	100.0	66.7	100.0	87.5	% occupants <=80%	100.0	50.0	30.5	36.9
% built before 1970	83.3	66.7	50.0	68.8	% built before 1970	0.0	112.5	50.5	63.1
% some problem	83.3	33.3	100.0	68.8	% some problem	100.0	12.5	17.1	18.4
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	4	0	4	# occupied units	16	20	101	137
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	4	0	0	4

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Dawson

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	59	121	166	346					
% occupants <=30%	55.9	34.7	31.3	36.7					
% built before 1970	86.4	47.1	79.5	69.4					not applicable
% some problem	23.7	14.9	2.4	10.4					
# vacant for rent	29	48	28	105					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	69	211	214	494	# occupied units	68	373	1,033	1,474
% occupants <=50%	58.0	45.0	16.4	34.4	% occupants <=50%	29.4	31.1	18.1	21.9
% built before 1970	92.8	62.6	69.6	69.8	% built before 1970	82.4	72.9	69.4	70.9
% some problem	36.2	33.2	26.6	30.8	% some problem	29.4	25.2	17.5	20.0
# vacant for rent	29	4	0	33	# vacant for sale	24	41	35	100
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	24	46	40	110	# occupied units	4	105	708	817
% occupants <=80%	100.0	47.8	20.0	49.1	% occupants <=80%	100.0	30.5	21.2	22.8
% built before 1970	83.3	41.3	60.0	57.3	% built before 1970	100.0	81.9	50.7	55.0
% some problem	125.0	39.1	30.0	54.5	% some problem	100.0	13.3	15.4	15.5
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	0	0	0	# occupied units	4	60	382	446
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	4	0	4	8

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Deer Lodge

County: Deer Lodge	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
Rent <= 30%					Value <= 30%				
# occupied units	184	96	124	404	not applicable				
% occupants <=30%	62.5	41.7	24.2	45.8					
% built before 1970	54.3	93.8	71.8	69.1					
% some problem	7.6	4.2	24.2	11.9					
# vacant for rent	33	40	8	81					
Rent 31-50%					Value <= 50%				
# occupied units	193	120	41	354	# occupied units	111	391	533	1,035
% occupants <=50%	64.2	21.7	56.1	48.9	% occupants <=50%	24.3	25.8	15.6	20.4
% built before 1970	79.3	63.3	92.7	75.4	% built before 1970	93.7	92.1	96.2	94.4
% some problem	46.6	15.8	58.5	37.6	% some problem	12.6	22.3	13.5	16.7
# vacant for rent	94	8	4	106	# vacant for sale	39	33	19	91
Rent 51-80%					Value 51-80%				
# occupied units	88	112	37	237	# occupied units	63	259	758	1,080
% occupants <=80%	84.1	57.1	59.5	67.5	% occupants <=80%	41.3	45.2	31.7	35.5
% built before 1970	77.3	91.1	100.0	87.3	% built before 1970	71.4	94.6	81.9	84.4
% some problem	50.0	44.6	37.8	45.6	% some problem	38.1	30.9	19.5	23.3
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	4	4	12	20
Rent >80%					Value >80%				
# occupied units	0	14	0	14	# occupied units	32	226	466	724
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	0	8	8	16



## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Fallon

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	20	48	98	166					
% occupants <=30%	20.0	29.2	12.2	18.1					
% built before 1970	60.0	70.8	53.1	59.0					not applicable
% some problem	0.0	16.7	4.1	7.2					
# vacant for rent	10	25	15	50					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	18	26	50	94	# occupied units	32	147	381	560
% occupants <=50%	55.6	30.8	16.0	27.7	% occupants <=50%	25.0	35.4	16.8	22.1
% built before 1970	44.4	73.1	56.0	58.5	% built before 1970	50.0	69.4	55.6	58.9
% some problem	22.2	38.5	8.0	19.1	% some problem	25.0	17.7	15.0	16.3
# vacant for rent	10	4	4	18	# vacant for sale	4	27	33	64
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	12	16	32	# occupied units	4	36	154	194
% occupants <=80%	100.0	66.7	50.0	62.5	% occupants <=80%	100.0	66.7	33.8	41.2
% built before 1970	0.0	33.3	75.0	50.0	% built before 1970	100.0	55.6	43.5	46.9
% some problem	0.0	33.3	25.0	25.0	% some problem	100.0	33.3	20.1	24.2
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	0	0	0	# occupied units	8	44	165	217
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	8	8

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

**County: Fergus**

	<b>Renters Units by # of bedrooms</b>				<b>Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms</b>			
	<b>0-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3+</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3+</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>			
# occupied units	129	118	215	462				
% occupants <=30%	41.9	20.3	9.3	21.2				
% built before 1970	64.3	76.3	63.3	66.9				not applicable
% some problem	32.6	6.8	5.6	13.4				
# vacant for rent	43	16	29	88				
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>			
# occupied units	146	163	176	485	# occupied units	97	475	839
% occupants <=50%	66.4	31.9	32.4	42.5	% occupants <=50%	37.1	34.7	20.4
% built before 1970	83.6	66.3	75.0	74.6	% built before 1970	54.6	55.8	57.3
% some problem	43.8	37.4	26.1	35.3	% some problem	35.1	21.7	19.4
# vacant for rent	43	32	4	79	# vacant for sale	8	26	39
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>			
# occupied units	131	148	102	381	# occupied units	45	324	724
% occupants <=80%	78.6	37.8	47.1	54.3	% occupants <=80%	40.0	54.0	30.9
% built before 1970	48.1	77.7	74.5	66.7	% built before 1970	82.2	86.7	81.9
% some problem	60.3	28.4	45.1	43.8	% some problem	62.2	26.9	23.9
# vacant for rent	45	0	0	45	# vacant for sale	0	27	16
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>			
# occupied units	16	4	24	44	# occupied units	55	311	929
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	4	8	8

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Flathead

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	615	443	522	1,580					
% occupants <=30%	52.2	33.2	25.9	38.2					
% built before 1970	23.9	32.1	45.0	33.2					not applicable
% some problem	23.3	10.2	18.6	18.0					
# vacant for rent	18	24	20	62					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	842	988	499	2,329	# occupied units	264	1,066	1,393	2,723
% occupants <=50%	54.0	41.9	38.3	45.5	% occupants <=50%	37.5	37.8	23.5	30.5
% built before 1970	51.1	52.5	44.3	50.2	% built before 1970	43.6	29.5	20.3	26.2
% some problem	43.9	43.4	35.5	41.9	% some problem	42.8	29.5	34.6	33.4
# vacant for rent	96	120	31	247	# vacant for sale	12	55	86	153
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	916	1,438	1,113	3,467	# occupied units	236	1,435	3,454	5,125
% occupants <=80%	60.3	43.1	47.0	48.9	% occupants <=80%	50.0	47.4	38.7	41.7
% built before 1970	46.6	34.8	43.9	40.9	% built before 1970	56.4	50.1	46.0	47.6
% some problem	49.6	34.7	41.1	40.7	% some problem	37.3	33.9	27.1	29.4
# vacant for rent	28	89	52	169	# vacant for sale	8	37	38	83
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	190	189	236	615	# occupied units	718	2,688	10,499	13,905
# vacant for rent	48	28	8	84	# vacant for sale	46	68	154	268

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Gallatin

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	475	525	578	1,578					
% occupants <=30%	44.8	19.6	18.9	26.9					
% built before 1970	52.0	41.7	32.5	41.4					not applicable
% some problem	31.2	14.5	9.7	17.7					
# vacant for rent	28	12	12	52					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	1,048	1,304	756	3,108	# occupied units	167	880	1,171	2,218
% occupants <=50%	49.5	40.2	37.2	42.6	% occupants <=50%	44.3	27.8	17.4	23.6
% built before 1970	60.5	42.9	43.1	48.9	% built before 1970	28.7	28.2	19.0	23.4
% some problem	46.5	38.0	31.5	39.3	% some problem	40.7	27.7	30.5	30.2
# vacant for rent	71	134	53	258	# vacant for sale	12	51	26	89
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	831	2,128	1,441	4,400	# occupied units	153	744	3,196	4,093
% occupants <=80%	67.7	59.4	53.0	58.9	% occupants <=80%	62.1	48.5	25.2	30.8
% built before 1970	38.5	33.8	34.9	35.1	% built before 1970	52.9	50.1	32.6	36.5
% some problem	54.0	44.7	48.6	47.8	% some problem	31.4	25.8	23.4	24.1
# vacant for rent	62	151	79	292	# vacant for sale	4	53	45	102
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	169	254	460	883	# occupied units	360	1,668	8,291	10,319
# vacant for rent	35	8	12	55	# vacant for sale	12	47	121	180

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Garfield

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	24	28	62	114					
% occupants <=30%	16.7	14.3	6.5	10.5					
% built before 1970	66.7	57.1	45.2	52.6					not applicable
% some problem	16.7	28.6	0.0	10.5					
# vacant for rent	4	4	4	12					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	8	12	24	44	# occupied units	16	62	165	243
% occupants <=50%	50.0	33.3	33.3	36.4	% occupants <=50%	75.0	35.5	30.3	34.6
% built before 1970	50.0	33.3	50.0	45.5	% built before 1970	50.0	58.1	40.0	45.3
% some problem	50.0	33.3	33.3	36.4	% some problem	50.0	29.0	12.1	18.9
# vacant for rent	4	4	4	12	# vacant for sale	8	4	12	24
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	4	4	12	# occupied units	8	16	35	59
% occupants <=80%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	% occupants <=80%	50.0	75.0	22.9	40.7
% built before 1970	0.0	100.0	100.0	66.7	% built before 1970	100.0	50.0	80.0	74.6
% some problem	100.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	% some problem	50.0	25.0	0.0	13.6
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	8	0	8
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	0	0	0	# occupied units	4	24	96	124
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	4	4	4	12

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Glacier

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	151	235	393	779					
% occupants <=30%	43.7	50.2	30.0	38.8					
% built before 1970	37.1	28.1	30.0	30.8					
% some problem	37.1	34.9	31.0	33.4					
# vacant for rent	24	65	8	97					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	59	200	246	505	# occupied units	85	373	854	1,312
% occupants <=50%	55.9	35.5	28.5	34.5	% occupants <=50%	54.1	36.5	21.8	28.0
% built before 1970	37.3	58.0	45.9	49.7	% built before 1970	72.9	56.3	43.2	48.9
% some problem	42.4	32.0	34.1	34.3	% some problem	71.8	30.6	30.3	33.1
# vacant for rent	43	20	20	83	# vacant for sale	4	10	22	36
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	45	109	122	276	# occupied units	50	194	538	782
% occupants <=80%	57.8	42.2	61.5	53.3	% occupants <=80%	32.0	47.4	34.8	37.7
% built before 1970	93.3	53.2	18.0	44.2	% built before 1970	44.0	76.8	40.1	49.5
% some problem	75.6	42.2	45.9	49.3	% some problem	40.0	23.7	25.5	26.0
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	0	4	4	8
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	8	16	14	38	# occupied units	52	137	352	541
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	4	4	4	12

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

**County: Golden Valley**

	<b>Renters Units by # of bedrooms</b>					<b>Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms</b>			
	<b>0-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3+</b>	<b>Total</b>		<b>0-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3+</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	20	28	24	72					
% occupants <=30%	0.0	28.6	16.7	16.7					
% built before 1970	100.0	57.1	66.7	72.2					not applicable
% some problem	20.0	14.3	16.7	16.7					
# vacant for rent	4	4	8	16					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	4	40	8	52	# occupied units	8	40	104	152
% occupants <=50%	0.0	50.0	50.0	46.2	% occupants <=50%	100.0	40.0	25.0	32.9
% built before 1970	100.0	50.0	50.0	53.8	% built before 1970	50.0	70.0	77.9	74.3
% some problem	0.0	20.0	50.0	23.1	% some problem	50.0	10.0	28.8	25.0
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	8	8	16	32
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	4	4	8	# occupied units	8	28	48	84
% occupants <=80%	N/A	100.0	100.0	100.0	% occupants <=80%	100.0	42.9	41.7	47.6
% built before 1970	N/A	100.0	100.0	100.0	% built before 1970	100.0	85.7	66.7	76.2
% some problem	N/A	100.0	100.0	100.0	% some problem	0.0	14.3	16.7	14.3
# vacant for rent	0	4	0	4	# vacant for sale	4	4	4	12
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	4	4	8	# occupied units	8	40	59	107
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Granite

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	42	56	41	139					
% occupants <=30%	28.6	21.4	29.3	25.9					
% built before 1970	66.7	67.9	85.4	72.7					not applicable
% some problem	19.0	14.3	29.3	20.1					
# vacant for rent	8	4	4	16					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	42	52	59	153	# occupied units	36	113	151	300
% occupants <=50%	47.6	42.3	27.1	37.9	% occupants <=50%	44.4	37.2	30.5	34.7
% built before 1970	47.6	67.3	50.8	55.6	% built before 1970	61.1	70.8	57.0	62.7
% some problem	38.1	34.6	20.3	30.1	% some problem	44.4	29.2	17.2	25.0
# vacant for rent	16	12	4	32	# vacant for sale	18	12	12	42
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	12	28	34	74	# occupied units	28	58	127	213
% occupants <=80%	66.7	57.1	35.3	48.6	% occupants <=80%	42.9	48.3	36.2	40.4
% built before 1970	33.3	71.4	47.1	54.1	% built before 1970	42.9	86.2	59.8	64.8
% some problem	83.3	28.6	23.5	35.1	% some problem	14.3	34.5	21.3	23.9
# vacant for rent	0	4	4	8	# vacant for sale	4	8	4	16
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	12	8	24	# occupied units	54	103	291	448
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	8	8	4	20



## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Hill

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	173	254	444	871					
% occupants <=30%	46.8	39.0	19.8	30.8					
% built before 1970	53.8	52.0	50.7	51.7					not applicable
% some problem	43.9	16.1	14.4	20.8					
# vacant for rent	41	52	20	113					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	323	382	221	926	# occupied units	102	428	1,005	1,535
% occupants <=50%	58.5	37.2	42.5	45.9	% occupants <=50%	50.0	18.7	13.1	17.1
% built before 1970	92.6	53.9	43.9	65.0	% built before 1970	53.9	47.0	50.6	49.8
% some problem	50.5	38.7	38.5	42.8	% some problem	35.3	24.3	20.1	22.3
# vacant for rent	45	58	4	107	# vacant for sale	30	54	63	147
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	186	131	208	525	# occupied units	56	285	1,010	1,351
% occupants <=80%	66.1	56.5	41.8	54.1	% occupants <=80%	60.7	44.9	19.4	26.5
% built before 1970	50.0	83.2	76.4	68.8	% built before 1970	60.7	89.1	68.0	72.2
% some problem	55.9	43.5	42.8	47.6	% some problem	46.4	40.0	20.2	25.5
# vacant for rent	0	0	4	4	# vacant for sale	0	8	12	20
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	22	18	29	69	# occupied units	61	198	1,075	1,334
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	14	18

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Jefferson

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	111	101	98	310					
% occupants <=30%	40.5	34.7	24.5	33.5					
% built before 1970	27.0	55.4	78.6	52.6					not applicable
% some problem	27.0	7.9	8.2	14.8					
# vacant for rent	22	35	8	65					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	74	127	104	305	# occupied units	100	244	414	758
% occupants <=50%	48.6	49.6	44.2	47.5	% occupants <=50%	56.0	41.0	25.1	34.3
% built before 1970	74.3	47.2	36.5	50.2	% built before 1970	54.0	57.4	43.7	49.5
% some problem	45.9	50.4	36.5	44.6	% some problem	52.0	16.0	25.4	25.9
# vacant for rent	18	16	4	38	# vacant for sale	8	16	8	32
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	12	40	50	102	# occupied units	60	175	596	831
% occupants <=80%	100.0	40.0	32.0	43.1	% occupants <=80%	46.7	49.1	30.7	35.7
% built before 1970	66.7	50.0	24.0	39.2	% built before 1970	60.0	44.6	22.8	30.1
% some problem	66.7	30.0	32.0	35.3	% some problem	40.0	20.6	17.4	19.7
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	0	4	8	12
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	4	14	22	# occupied units	101	303	1,401	1,805
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	12	20	32

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Judith Basin

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	24	40	93	157					
% occupants <=30%	33.3	10.0	19.4	19.1					
% built before 1970	33.3	70.0	86.0	73.9					not applicable
% some problem	16.7	0.0	8.6	7.6					
# vacant for rent	8	12	8	28					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	8	20	42	70	# occupied units	24	127	241	392
% occupants <=50%	50.0	40.0	28.6	34.3	% occupants <=50%	33.3	29.9	30.7	30.6
% built before 1970	100.0	80.0	95.2	91.4	% built before 1970	83.3	82.7	49.8	62.5
% some problem	50.0	40.0	28.6	34.3	% some problem	33.3	18.9	21.2	21.2
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	8	16	16	40
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	8	12	20	# occupied units	16	48	132	196
% occupants <=80%	N/A	0.0	66.7	40.0	% occupants <=80%	75.0	25.0	47.0	43.9
% built before 1970	N/A	100.0	66.7	80.0	% built before 1970	75.0	83.3	47.0	58.2
% some problem	N/A	0.0	66.7	40.0	% some problem	50.0	8.3	21.2	20.4
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	4	4	8	# occupied units	16	82	184	282
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	8	0	0	8

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Lake

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	269	368	389	1,026					
% occupants <=30%	54.6	41.0	31.6	41.0					
% built before 1970	40.9	26.6	44.7	37.2					not applicable
% some problem	35.7	23.1	20.1	25.2					
# vacant for rent	4	22	19	45					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	242	283	244	769	# occupied units	94	502	643	1,239
% occupants <=50%	50.0	48.4	41.4	46.7	% occupants <=50%	46.8	35.5	34.1	35.6
% built before 1970	45.0	44.5	34.4	41.5	% built before 1970	68.1	33.7	31.9	35.4
% some problem	53.3	49.1	32.4	45.1	% some problem	42.6	24.3	20.7	23.8
# vacant for rent	70	39	46	155	# vacant for sale	20	12	16	48
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	202	498	341	1,041	# occupied units	70	365	925	1,360
% occupants <=80%	75.2	49.4	57.5	57.1	% occupants <=80%	74.3	59.5	40.4	47.3
% built before 1970	36.1	41.2	44.9	41.4	% built before 1970	80.0	49.0	42.2	46.0
% some problem	53.0	46.6	50.7	49.2	% some problem	42.9	18.1	21.3	21.5
# vacant for rent	35	19	8	62	# vacant for sale	8	4	16	28
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	22	35	34	91	# occupied units	263	994	3,586	4,843
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	16	32	56	104

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Lewis & Clark									
Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	609	420	451	1,480					
% occupants <=30%	69.5	41.7	32.4	50.3					
% built before 1970	52.4	45.5	52.1	50.3					not applicable
% some problem	38.9	23.3	21.1	29.1					
# vacant for rent	24	24	8	56					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	1,283	1,571	557	3,411	# occupied units	248	1,190	1,479	2,917
% occupants <=50%	57.6	33.3	26.2	41.3	% occupants <=50%	45.2	33.6	23.9	29.7
% built before 1970	74.1	42.0	41.1	53.9	% built before 1970	42.3	28.8	23.8	27.4
% some problem	51.3	34.1	21.7	38.6	% some problem	37.9	27.3	30.1	29.6
# vacant for rent	110	76	95	281	# vacant for sale	20	16	49	85
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	446	772	708	1,926	# occupied units	239	1,514	5,391	7,144
% occupants <=80%	58.3	48.6	39.3	47.4	% occupants <=80%	61.5	39.2	23.2	27.9
% built before 1970	51.3	44.8	40.3	44.7	% built before 1970	51.0	55.7	42.3	45.4
% some problem	48.0	35.9	30.9	36.9	% some problem	50.2	25.0	19.2	21.5
# vacant for rent	28	4	27	59	# vacant for sale	8	22	75	105
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	64	66	55	185	# occupied units	383	1,049	4,652	6,084
# vacant for rent	49	0	4	53	# vacant for sale	12	34	46	92

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

County: Liberty

Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	12	38	81	131					
% occupants <=30%	33.3	36.8	12.3	21.4					
% built before 1970	33.3	42.1	64.2	55.0					not applicable
% some problem	66.7	26.3	30.9	32.8					
# vacant for rent	0	4	0	4					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	18	49	30	97	# occupied units	24	82	214	320
% occupants <=50%	22.2	16.3	13.3	16.5	% occupants <=50%	33.3	29.3	19.6	23.1
% built before 1970	55.6	65.3	86.7	70.1	% built before 1970	66.7	70.7	58.4	62.2
% some problem	22.2	8.2	13.3	12.4	% some problem	33.3	19.5	26.2	25.0
# vacant for rent	4	19	4	27	# vacant for sale	12	16	12	40
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	8	4	4	16	# occupied units	4	40	132	176
% occupants <=80%	100.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	% occupants <=80%	100.0	60.0	27.3	36.4
% built before 1970	50.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	% built before 1970	100.0	60.0	56.1	58.0
% some problem	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	% some problem	100.0	50.0	19.7	28.4
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	12	4	16
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	0	0	4	# occupied units	20	36	150	206
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	4	8

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Lincoln

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	221	159	132	512					
% occupants <=30%	47.1	35.2	28.8	38.7					
% built before 1970	51.1	43.4	54.5	49.6					not applicable
% some problem	41.6	11.3	22.0	27.1					
# vacant for rent	26	16	20	62					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	239	298	286	823	# occupied units	182	560	1,029	1,771
% occupants <=50%	57.7	59.4	50.0	55.7	% occupants <=50%	59.3	38.6	27.1	34.0
% built before 1970	48.1	41.9	35.0	41.3	% built before 1970	52.2	48.0	44.5	46.4
% some problem	56.1	51.3	46.5	51.0	% some problem	57.7	27.7	29.7	32.0
# vacant for rent	50	73	52	175	# vacant for sale	18	28	50	96
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	104	156	156	416	# occupied units	118	387	1,013	1,518
% occupants <=80%	80.8	63.5	44.2	60.6	% occupants <=80%	71.2	50.4	36.8	43.0
% built before 1970	87.5	40.4	53.2	57.0	% built before 1970	39.0	58.1	52.5	52.9
% some problem	66.3	59.0	39.7	53.6	% some problem	57.6	22.2	20.5	23.8
# vacant for rent	10	14	4	28	# vacant for sale	4	33	26	63
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	12	4	8	24	# occupied units	224	661	1,751	2,636
# vacant for rent	4	0	4	8	# vacant for sale	8	4	53	65

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Madison

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	40	84	204	328					
% occupants <=30%	20.0	19.0	14.7	16.5					
% built before 1970	70.0	76.2	55.9	62.8					not applicable
% some problem	20.0	19.0	5.9	11.0					
# vacant for rent	0	20	8	28					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	50	108	108	266	# occupied units	56	164	154	374
% occupants <=50%	44.0	31.5	25.9	31.6	% occupants <=50%	57.1	41.5	33.8	40.6
% built before 1970	72.0	54.6	70.4	64.3	% built before 1970	50.0	41.5	39.0	41.7
% some problem	28.0	43.5	27.8	34.2	% some problem	42.9	31.7	35.1	34.8
# vacant for rent	16	30	8	54	# vacant for sale	16	24	16	56
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	127	124	92	343	# occupied units	44	157	303	504
% occupants <=80%	56.7	58.1	52.2	56.0	% occupants <=80%	72.7	66.9	37.0	49.4
% built before 1970	42.5	55.6	47.8	48.7	% built before 1970	54.5	52.9	48.8	50.6
% some problem	48.0	42.7	40.2	44.0	% some problem	27.3	22.9	22.8	23.2
# vacant for rent	12	18	8	38	# vacant for sale	0	16	12	28
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	24	36	12	72	# occupied units	133	375	981	1,489
# vacant for rent	0	10	4	14	# vacant for sale	20	24	34	78



## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: McCone

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	20	32	88	140					
% occupants <=30%	40.0	25.0	13.6	20.0					
% built before 1970	60.0	87.5	73.9	75.0					not applicable
% some problem	20.0	12.5	0.0	5.7					
# vacant for rent	15	10	28	53					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	4	12	42	58	# occupied units	20	109	306	435
% occupants <=50%	100.0	33.3	38.1	41.4	% occupants <=50%	0.0	42.2	16.3	22.1
% built before 1970	0.0	100.0	52.4	58.6	% built before 1970	80.0	65.1	64.7	65.5
% some problem	0.0	33.3	19.0	20.7	% some problem	0.0	16.5	20.9	18.9
# vacant for rent	4	4	0	8	# vacant for sale	4	4	12	20
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	16	12	28	# occupied units	4	24	108	136
% occupants <=80%	N/A	75.0	66.7	71.4	% occupants <=80%	0.0	33.3	33.3	32.4
% built before 1970	N/A	50.0	33.3	42.9	% built before 1970	100.0	83.3	51.9	58.8
% some problem	N/A	25.0	66.7	42.9	% some problem	0.0	0.0	18.5	14.7
# vacant for rent	0	4	0	4	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	4	0	8	# occupied units	4	16	99	119
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	4	0	4	8

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Meagher

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	32	42	60	134					
% occupants <=30%	37.5	33.3	13.3	25.4					
% built before 1970	75.0	83.3	65.0	73.1					not applicable
% some problem	25.0	0.0	13.3	11.9					
# vacant for rent	15	10	8	33					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	16	30	38	84	# occupied units	32	87	119	238
% occupants <=50%	50.0	26.7	42.1	38.1	% occupants <=50%	25.0	36.8	20.2	26.9
% built before 1970	75.0	53.3	73.7	66.7	% built before 1970	25.0	59.8	71.4	60.9
% some problem	62.5	33.3	31.6	38.1	% some problem	37.5	20.7	23.5	24.4
# vacant for rent	14	8	4	26	# vacant for sale	8	12	8	28
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	20	24	48	# occupied units	12	54	128	194
% occupants <=80%	100.0	80.0	50.0	66.7	% occupants <=80%	100.0	44.4	39.8	44.8
% built before 1970	100.0	20.0	50.0	41.7	% built before 1970	100.0	59.3	60.9	62.9
% some problem	100.0	40.0	33.3	41.7	% some problem	66.7	22.2	18.0	22.2
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	8	8	8	24
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	0	0	0	# occupied units	32	62	145	239
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	8	4	8	20

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Mineral

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	52	62	44	158					
% occupants <=30%	38.5	32.3	18.2	30.4					
% built before 1970	69.2	51.6	36.4	53.2					not applicable
% some problem	38.5	12.9	9.1	20.3					
# vacant for rent	4	12	4	20					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	60	82	64	206	# occupied units	56	124	160	340
% occupants <=50%	60.0	39.0	43.8	46.6	% occupants <=50%	42.9	33.9	36.3	36.5
% built before 1970	46.7	48.8	56.3	50.5	% built before 1970	42.9	38.7	47.5	43.5
% some problem	40.0	36.6	25.0	34.0	% some problem	57.1	40.3	26.3	36.5
# vacant for rent	16	20	4	40	# vacant for sale	12	12	12	36
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	78	70	64	212	# occupied units	52	118	220	390
% occupants <=80%	59.0	62.9	62.5	61.3	% occupants <=80%	61.5	47.5	33.6	41.5
% built before 1970	46.2	51.4	68.8	54.7	% built before 1970	38.5	44.1	57.3	50.8
% some problem	38.5	45.7	37.5	40.6	% some problem	38.5	20.3	28.2	27.2
# vacant for rent	0	4	4	8	# vacant for sale	0	12	8	20
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	4	16	20	# occupied units	40	198	393	631
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	8	12	12	32

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Missoula

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	617	452	534	1,603					
% occupants <=30%	60.6	41.6	25.1	43.4					
% built before 1970	51.2	38.5	36.3	42.7					not applicable
% some problem	37.8	17.7	13.3	24.0					
# vacant for rent	20	30	33	83					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	1,875	1,461	748	4,084	# occupied units	266	1,579	1,802	3,647
% occupants <=50%	64.2	48.6	45.7	55.2	% occupants <=50%	41.7	37.2	27.4	32.7
% built before 1970	57.7	54.4	45.5	54.3	% built before 1970	36.1	26.9	15.9	22.1
% some problem	57.5	50.0	41.4	51.9	% some problem	32.3	36.4	35.5	35.6
# vacant for rent	98	160	45	303	# vacant for sale	12	44	30	86
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	2,660	3,542	1,582	7,784	# occupied units	166	857	2,400	3,423
% occupants <=80%	78.8	60.4	52.1	65.0	% occupants <=80%	76.5	48.3	31.3	37.7
% built before 1970	49.1	41.3	41.2	43.9	% built before 1970	62.7	68.3	44.1	51.1
% some problem	61.5	47.4	44.9	51.7	% some problem	59.6	32.2	27.0	29.9
# vacant for rent	116	85	83	284	# vacant for sale	4	54	24	82
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	468	396	383	1,247	# occupied units	630	3,236	13,012	16,878
# vacant for rent	4	8	4	16	# vacant for sale	31	50	125	206

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Musselshell

Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	42	120	90	252					
% occupants <=30%	33.3	13.3	15.6	17.5					
% built before 1970	76.2	46.7	43.3	50.4					not applicable
% some problem	19.0	15.0	13.3	15.1					
# vacant for rent	10	12	8	30					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	31	71	45	147	# occupied units	90	224	329	643
% occupants <=50%	61.3	53.5	31.1	48.3	% occupants <=50%	37.8	37.1	22.5	29.7
% built before 1970	74.2	81.7	80.0	79.6	% built before 1970	58.9	67.4	57.1	61.0
% some problem	64.5	40.8	22.2	40.1	% some problem	47.8	26.8	16.4	24.4
# vacant for rent	10	4	4	18	# vacant for sale	28	36	31	95
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	16	42	18	76	# occupied units	20	106	245	371
% occupants <=80%	75.0	57.1	77.8	65.8	% occupants <=80%	60.0	54.7	24.5	35.0
% built before 1970	25.0	78.6	44.4	59.2	% built before 1970	20.0	72.6	49.4	54.4
% some problem	25.0	35.7	22.2	30.3	% some problem	60.0	18.9	12.2	16.7
# vacant for rent	0	4	0	4	# vacant for sale	8	8	8	24
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	0	4	8	# occupied units	28	106	375	509
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	4	12	8	24

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

County: Park

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	312	149	214	675					
% occupants <=30%	43.3	18.8	18.2	29.9					
% built before 1970	71.8	58.4	42.1	59.4					not applicable
% some problem	42.3	17.4	14.5	28.0					
# vacant for rent	8	32	26	66					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	280	271	192	743	# occupied units	100	246	468	814
% occupants <=50%	48.6	24.7	37.0	36.9	% occupants <=50%	34.0	35.4	25.9	29.7
% built before 1970	78.2	50.9	73.4	67.0	% built before 1970	67.0	38.2	24.8	34.0
% some problem	50.0	24.0	37.5	37.3	% some problem	16.0	48.0	31.6	34.6
# vacant for rent	63	31	23	117	# vacant for sale	8	26	30	64
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	260	363	265	888	# occupied units	99	337	829	1,265
% occupants <=80%	64.6	43.8	46.0	50.6	% occupants <=80%	75.8	39.8	36.4	40.4
% built before 1970	66.5	54.5	38.5	53.3	% built before 1970	66.7	73.6	65.4	67.7
% some problem	51.5	34.7	41.9	41.8	% some problem	33.3	28.2	22.2	24.7
# vacant for rent	8	8	0	16	# vacant for sale	4	4	16	24
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	46	19	42	107	# occupied units	180	796	1,594	2,570
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	4	20	30	54

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Petroleum

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	16	16	32	64					
% occupants <=30%	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0					
% built before 1970	50.0	25.0	37.5	37.5					not applicable
% some problem	25.0	0.0	12.5	12.5					
# vacant for rent	4	8	0	12					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	4	8	8	20	# occupied units	0	36	74	110
% occupants <=50%	100.0	50.0	50.0	60.0	% occupants <=50%	N/A	33.3	37.8	36.4
% built before 1970	0.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	% built before 1970	N/A	66.7	58.1	60.9
% some problem	100.0	50.0	50.0	60.0	% some problem	N/A	22.2	27.0	25.5
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	4	12	8	24
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	0	4	8	# occupied units	0	12	24	36
% occupants <=80%	100.0	N/A	0.0	50.0	% occupants <=80%	N/A	66.7	16.7	33.3
% built before 1970	100.0	N/A	100.0	100.0	% built before 1970	N/A	66.7	66.7	66.7
% some problem	100.0	N/A	0.0	50.0	% some problem	N/A	66.7	16.7	33.3
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	0	4
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	0	0	0	# occupied units	4	8	36	48
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Phillips

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	63	107	158	328					
% occupants <=30%	52.4	21.5	24.7	29.0					
% built before 1970	57.1	55.1	44.3	50.3					not applicable
% some problem	6.3	7.5	18.4	12.5					
# vacant for rent	15	20	12	47					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	40	96	64	200	# occupied units	55	212	525	792
% occupants <=50%	55.0	46.9	6.3	35.5	% occupants <=50%	36.4	34.9	27.2	29.9
% built before 1970	82.5	58.3	79.7	70.0	% built before 1970	56.4	68.4	50.1	55.4
% some problem	45.0	43.8	0.0	30.0	% some problem	14.5	21.7	13.9	16.0
# vacant for rent	4	25	4	33	# vacant for sale	24	30	42	96
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	16	4	10	30	# occupied units	8	66	325	399
% occupants <=80%	50.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	% occupants <=80%	100.0	33.3	24.0	27.1
% built before 1970	0.0	100.0	100.0	46.7	% built before 1970	50.0	75.8	36.3	43.1
% some problem	87.5	100.0	0.0	60.0	% some problem	0.0	6.1	17.5	15.3
# vacant for rent	4	0	4	8	# vacant for sale	0	8	4	12
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	0	4	8	# occupied units	8	46	153	207
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	8	12



## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Pondera

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	69	138	162	369					
% occupants <=30%	55.1	41.3	24.1	36.3					
% built before 1970	40.6	50.7	63.6	54.5					not applicable
% some problem	42.0	36.2	14.8	27.9					
# vacant for rent	35	4	14	53					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	71	89	84	244	# occupied units	62	213	410	685
% occupants <=50%	36.6	38.2	36.9	37.3	% occupants <=50%	67.7	34.7	23.2	30.8
% built before 1970	64.8	46.1	51.2	53.3	% built before 1970	67.7	68.1	64.1	65.7
% some problem	53.5	24.7	14.3	29.5	% some problem	54.8	22.1	23.7	26.0
# vacant for rent	23	19	15	57	# vacant for sale	16	22	38	76
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	28	46	79	153	# occupied units	32	146	453	631
% occupants <=80%	71.4	43.5	10.1	31.4	% occupants <=80%	62.5	38.4	27.6	31.9
% built before 1970	42.9	47.8	63.3	54.9	% built before 1970	75.0	79.5	62.0	66.7
% some problem	78.6	43.5	27.8	41.8	% some problem	50.0	15.8	25.8	24.7
# vacant for rent	0	0	4	4	# vacant for sale	0	14	8	22
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	12	8	12	32	# occupied units	44	100	380	524
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	8	8

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Powder

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	16	56	80	152					
% occupants <=30%	25.0	21.4	15.0	18.4					
% built before 1970	75.0	67.9	60.0	64.5					not applicable
% some problem	0.0	7.1	5.0	5.3					
# vacant for rent	0	4	4	8					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	12	38	50	100	# occupied units	12	76	189	277
% occupants <=50%	66.7	31.6	40.0	40.0	% occupants <=50%	66.7	15.8	23.3	23.1
% built before 1970	33.3	68.4	56.0	58.0	% built before 1970	33.3	42.1	42.9	42.2
% some problem	0.0	21.1	24.0	20.0	% some problem	33.3	10.5	14.3	14.1
# vacant for rent	10	8	4	22	# vacant for sale	4	8	8	20
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	0	8	12	# occupied units	4	20	99	123
% occupants <=80%	100.0	N/A	50.0	66.7	% occupants <=80%	0.0	80.0	28.3	35.8
% built before 1970	100.0	N/A	50.0	66.7	% built before 1970	100.0	80.0	38.4	47.2
% some problem	100.0	N/A	0.0	33.3	% some problem	0.0	20.0	20.2	19.5
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	4	0	4	# occupied units	4	28	144	176
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	0	4

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Powell

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms				Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total	0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>			
# occupied units	24	70	89	183				
% occupants <=30%	0.0	35.7	13.5	20.2				
% built before 1970	50.0	65.7	51.7	56.8				not applicable
% some problem	16.7	27.1	13.5	19.1				
# vacant for rent	27	22	4	53				
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>			
# occupied units	98	162	96	356	# occupied units	30	186	423
% occupants <=50%	44.9	49.4	18.8	39.9	% occupants <=50%	13.3	34.9	23.6
% built before 1970	82.7	57.4	62.5	65.7	% built before 1970	66.7	66.7	55.1
% some problem	34.7	44.4	25.0	36.5	% some problem	13.3	28.0	22.5
# vacant for rent	27	27	0	54	# vacant for sale	8	12	26
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>			
# occupied units	32	71	75	178	# occupied units	26	116	322
% occupants <=80%	25.0	39.4	42.7	38.2	% occupants <=80%	30.8	31.0	14.0
% built before 1970	25.0	52.1	84.0	60.7	% built before 1970	88.5	62.9	71.1
% some problem	25.0	19.7	25.3	23.0	% some problem	15.4	3.4	11.2
# vacant for rent	4	4	4	12	# vacant for sale	0	4	19
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>			
# occupied units	8	8	0	16	# occupied units	36	120	550
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	4

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Prairie

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	12	28	54	94					
% occupants <=30%	33.3	28.6	22.2	25.5					
% built before 1970	33.3	42.9	90.7	69.1					not applicable
% some problem	0.0	14.3	7.4	8.5					
# vacant for rent	4	4	8	16					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	12	16	8	36	# occupied units	8	109	209	326
% occupants <=50%	33.3	50.0	50.0	44.4	% occupants <=50%	50.0	45.9	21.1	30.1
% built before 1970	33.3	50.0	50.0	44.4	% built before 1970	150.0	66.1	79.4	76.7
% some problem	33.3	50.0	50.0	44.4	% some problem	50.0	23.9	7.7	14.1
# vacant for rent	4	4	0	8	# vacant for sale	4	23	8	35
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	8	8	0	16	# occupied units	8	22	50	80
% occupants <=80%	50.0	50.0	N/A	50.0	% occupants <=80%	50.0	54.5	48.0	50.0
% built before 1970	50.0	50.0	N/A	50.0	% built before 1970	50.0	54.5	56.0	55.0
% some problem	50.0	0.0	N/A	25.0	% some problem	50.0	18.2	32.0	30.0
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	4	0	4	8
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	0	0	0	# occupied units	4	12	48	64
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	0	0

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

County: Ravalli

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	228	239	260	727					
% occupants <=30%	31.6	42.3	13.8	28.7					
% built before 1970	22.4	44.8	43.1	37.1					not applicable
% some problem	22.4	24.7	10.0	18.7					
# vacant for rent	12	8	4	24					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	245	310	222	777	# occupied units	131	515	468	1,114
% occupants <=50%	35.1	37.7	28.8	34.4	% occupants <=50%	43.5	28.7	21.4	27.4
% built before 1970	49.8	32.9	28.8	37.1	% built before 1970	40.5	29.5	20.9	27.2
% some problem	26.9	34.5	25.7	29.6	% some problem	42.7	22.1	24.4	25.5
# vacant for rent	29	38	33	100	# vacant for sale	8	24	12	44
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	394	672	545	1,611	# occupied units	99	352	825	1,276
% occupants <=80%	76.6	56.4	55.8	61.1	% occupants <=80%	68.7	45.5	40.1	43.8
% built before 1970	34.0	42.1	41.3	39.9	% built before 1970	50.5	61.1	40.6	47.0
% some problem	56.3	41.4	39.6	44.4	% some problem	60.6	33.2	28.6	32.4
# vacant for rent	4	16	39	59	# vacant for sale	8	20	8	36
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	93	143	110	346	# occupied units	397	2,015	5,983	8,395
# vacant for rent	8	0	0	8	# vacant for sale	27	66	157	250

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Richland

County: Richland	Renters Units by # of bedrooms				Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total	0-1	2	3+	Total	
Rent <= 30%					Value <= 30%				
# occupied units	49	187	207	443					
% occupants <=30%	67.3	44.4	17.4	34.3					
% built before 1970	53.1	52.4	61.8	56.9					
% some problem	8.2	16.6	4.8	10.2					
# vacant for rent	51	32	8	91					
Rent 31-50%					Value <= 50%				
# occupied units	72	251	218	541	# occupied units	96	310	1,086	
% occupants <=50%	44.4	29.1	13.8	25.0	% occupants <=50%	55.2	22.6	15.1	
% built before 1970	83.3	44.6	50.9	52.3	% built before 1970	78.1	58.4	54.1	
% some problem	43.1	22.7	10.1	20.3	% some problem	22.9	19.7	14.2	
# vacant for rent	38	24	4	66	# vacant for sale	12	34	30	
Rent 51-80%					Value 51-80%				
# occupied units	30	52	51	133	# occupied units	28	207	690	
% occupants <=80%	73.3	53.8	47.1	55.6	% occupants <=80%	57.1	52.7	17.7	
% built before 1970	26.7	69.2	39.2	48.1	% built before 1970	57.1	68.6	42.6	
% some problem	40.0	15.4	43.1	31.6	% some problem	42.9	18.8	15.8	
# vacant for rent	10	0	0	10	# vacant for sale	0	4	12	
Rent >80%					Value >80%				
# occupied units	8	4	0	12	# occupied units	16	80	380	
# vacant for rent	20	0	4	24	# vacant for sale	0	4	0	

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Roosevelt

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	54	139	421	614					
% occupants <=30%	48.1	48.9	41.8	44.0					
% built before 1970	51.9	47.5	28.5	34.9					not applicable
% some problem	22.2	23.0	21.9	22.1					
# vacant for rent	22	22	30	74					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	97	188	223	508	# occupied units	34	355	1,135	1,524
% occupants <=50%	62.9	39.9	49.3	48.4	% occupants <=50%	35.3	35.5	25.0	27.7
% built before 1970	78.4	77.1	36.8	59.6	% built before 1970	58.8	59.4	54.5	55.8
% some problem	53.6	39.4	45.3	44.7	% some problem	47.1	22.0	19.4	20.6
# vacant for rent	16	28	8	52	# vacant for sale	12	12	24	48
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	36	52	93	181	# occupied units	46	107	475	628
% occupants <=80%	77.8	65.4	55.9	63.0	% occupants <=80%	43.5	44.9	35.8	37.9
% built before 1970	66.7	101.9	55.9	71.3	% built before 1970	56.5	60.7	46.3	49.5
% some problem	102.8	44.2	48.4	58.0	% some problem	47.8	15.0	21.7	22.5
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	4	0	8	# occupied units	16	66	172	254
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

**County: Rosebud**

	<b>Renters Units by # of bedrooms</b>					<b>Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms</b>			
	<b>0-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3+</b>	<b>Total</b>		<b>0-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3+</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	111	150	225	486					
% occupants <=30%	47.7	25.3	22.2	29.0					
% built before 1970	39.6	34.7	36.9	36.8					not applicable
% some problem	25.2	20.7	20.0	21.4					
# vacant for rent	44	16	30	90					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	127	151	160	438	# occupied units	109	292	895	1,296
% occupants <=50%	35.4	37.1	33.8	35.4	% occupants <=50%	53.2	23.3	20.2	23.7
% built before 1970	46.5	29.8	31.9	35.4	% built before 1970	40.4	54.8	24.9	32.9
% some problem	37.8	41.7	35.0	38.1	% some problem	50.5	21.6	17.5	21.2
# vacant for rent	0	12	44	56	# vacant for sale	8	12	18	38
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	34	82	84	200	# occupied units	20	88	531	639
% occupants <=80%	64.7	48.8	28.6	43.0	% occupants <=80%	60.0	27.3	19.2	21.6
% built before 1970	41.2	31.7	9.5	24.0	% built before 1970	40.0	69.3	18.1	25.8
% some problem	70.6	42.7	11.9	34.5	% some problem	80.0	18.2	16.8	18.9
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	14	14
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	16	12	8	36	# occupied units	36	40	286	362
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	8	8



## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

**County: Sanders**

	<b>Renters Units by # of bedrooms</b>					<b>Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms</b>			
	<b>0-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3+</b>	<b>Total</b>		<b>0-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3+</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	123	140	104	367					
% occupants <=30%	36.6	32.9	23.1	31.3					
% built before 1970	61.8	50.0	59.6	56.7					
% some problem	30.9	14.3	7.7	18.0					
# vacant for rent	40	16	12	68					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	147	146	130	423	# occupied units	172	352	433	957
% occupants <=50%	60.5	43.8	43.1	49.4	% occupants <=50%	47.7	37.8	35.8	38.7
% built before 1970	49.7	50.7	58.5	52.7	% built before 1970	60.5	47.2	47.8	49.8
% some problem	40.1	40.4	32.3	37.8	% some problem	36.6	26.1	24.2	27.2
# vacant for rent	30	50	12	92	# vacant for sale	8	20	20	48
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	64	120	120	304	# occupied units	103	257	467	827
% occupants <=80%	81.3	57.5	55.0	61.5	% occupants <=80%	69.9	56.0	44.1	51.0
% built before 1970	71.9	45.0	35.8	47.0	% built before 1970	62.1	60.3	49.9	54.7
% some problem	50.0	32.5	35.0	37.2	% some problem	38.8	26.1	28.7	29.1
# vacant for rent	8	0	4	12	# vacant for sale	12	24	16	52
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	12	24	8	44	# occupied units	203	509	1,070	1,782
# vacant for rent	8	0	0	8	# vacant for sale	20	39	30	89

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Sheridan

Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	39	91	107	237					
% occupants <=30%	74.4	19.8	0.0	19.8					
% built before 1970	30.8	54.9	82.2	63.3					not applicable
% some problem	20.5	15.4	3.7	11.0					
# vacant for rent	20	48	12	80					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	14	72	59	145	# occupied units	50	262	657	969
% occupants <=50%	100.0	11.1	39.0	31.0	% occupants <=50%	52.0	32.1	15.5	21.9
% built before 1970	28.6	50.0	91.5	64.8	% built before 1970	88.0	71.8	65.6	68.4
% some problem	28.6	11.1	32.2	21.4	% some problem	44.0	16.8	11.9	14.9
# vacant for rent	4	8	0	12	# vacant for sale	12	24	22	58
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	8	12	24	# occupied units	8	60	283	351
% occupants <=80%	100.0	50.0	66.7	66.7	% occupants <=80%	100.0	43.3	22.6	27.9
% built before 1970	100.0	50.0	66.7	66.7	% built before 1970	100.0	60.0	55.8	57.5
% some problem	100.0	50.0	33.3	50.0	% some problem	50.0	36.7	12.0	17.1
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	12	12
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	0	4	4	# occupied units	24	50	130	204
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

**County: Silver Bow**

	<b>Renters Units by # of bedrooms</b>				<b>Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms</b>			
	<b>0-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3+</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>0-1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3+</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>			
# occupied units	596	415	300	1,311				
% occupants <=30%	48.7	39.3	37.3	43.1				
% built before 1970	60.9	68.0	60.0	62.9				not applicable
% some problem	29.9	15.2	24.0	23.9				
# vacant for rent	124	29	30	183				
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>			
# occupied units	806	509	342	1,657	# occupied units	319	1,172	1,850
% occupants <=50%	52.4	38.5	37.7	45.1	% occupants <=50%	23.8	26.5	16.6
% built before 1970	82.0	82.7	70.2	79.8	% built before 1970	80.9	80.2	76.3
% some problem	41.9	38.1	40.1	40.4	% some problem	19.4	22.8	21.9
# vacant for rent	190	180	49	419	# vacant for sale	37	61	72
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>			
# occupied units	328	436	320	1,084	# occupied units	234	998	2,400
% occupants <=80%	64.3	47.5	56.9	55.4	% occupants <=80%	40.6	31.1	21.7
% built before 1970	85.4	61.0	68.8	70.7	% built before 1970	94.4	95.6	79.1
% some problem	46.6	39.9	54.4	46.2	% some problem	38.5	17.4	14.3
# vacant for rent	33	8	14	55	# vacant for sale	29	12	42
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>			
# occupied units	86	16	60	162	# occupied units	139	565	2,403
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	32	18	16

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Stillwater

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	80	70	143	293					
% occupants <=30%	30.0	31.4	15.4	23.2					
% built before 1970	62.5	62.9	78.3	70.3					not applicable
% some problem	15.0	5.7	5.6	8.2					
# vacant for rent	8	12	4	24					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	84	141	101	326	# occupied units	84	232	364	680
% occupants <=50%	50.0	22.7	15.8	27.6	% occupants <=50%	33.3	35.3	22.5	28.2
% built before 1970	61.9	67.4	63.4	64.7	% built before 1970	57.1	44.0	40.4	43.7
% some problem	35.7	22.7	21.8	25.8	% some problem	33.3	32.8	20.1	26.0
# vacant for rent	8	20	8	36	# vacant for sale	8	16	12	36
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	36	82	99	217	# occupied units	36	162	466	664
% occupants <=80%	66.7	34.1	24.2	35.0	% occupants <=80%	22.2	50.6	30.9	35.2
% built before 1970	88.9	61.0	62.6	66.4	% built before 1970	44.4	70.4	45.7	51.7
% some problem	55.6	28.0	8.1	23.5	% some problem	44.4	18.5	14.6	17.2
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	0	12	16	28
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	8	4	0	12	# occupied units	80	320	947	1,347
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	8	20	24	52

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Sweet Grass

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	40	55	79	174					
% occupants <=30%	30.0	7.3	10.1	13.8					
% built before 1970	50.0	63.6	43.0	51.1					not applicable
% some problem	10.0	14.5	5.1	9.2					
# vacant for rent	12	8	0	20					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	26	55	36	117	# occupied units	32	64	112	208
% occupants <=50%	46.2	32.7	22.2	32.5	% occupants <=50%	37.5	50.0	30.4	37.5
% built before 1970	84.6	60.0	44.4	60.7	% built before 1970	25.0	59.4	51.8	50.0
% some problem	73.1	34.5	33.3	42.7	% some problem	50.0	31.3	17.9	26.9
# vacant for rent	4	4	4	12	# vacant for sale	4	0	12	16
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	24	42	52	118	# occupied units	16	60	156	232
% occupants <=80%	50.0	38.1	30.8	37.3	% occupants <=80%	50.0	40.0	34.0	36.6
% built before 1970	50.0	71.4	42.3	54.2	% built before 1970	100.0	80.0	68.6	73.7
% some problem	33.3	33.3	23.1	28.8	% some problem	50.0	26.7	19.9	23.7
# vacant for rent	8	8	0	16	# vacant for sale	0	4	4	8
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	16	8	4	28	# occupied units	68	164	547	779
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	4	12	0	16

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Teton

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	63	116	130	309					
% occupants <=30%	19.0	37.9	20.0	26.5					
% built before 1970	60.3	53.4	72.3	62.8					not applicable
% some problem	25.4	29.3	24.6	26.5					
# vacant for rent	4	14	4	22					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	90	93	86	269	# occupied units	64	144	410	618
% occupants <=50%	51.1	21.5	37.2	36.4	% occupants <=50%	43.8	27.8	25.1	27.7
% built before 1970	62.2	59.1	83.7	68.0	% built before 1970	85.9	69.4	54.6	61.3
% some problem	35.6	21.5	27.9	28.3	% some problem	31.3	12.5	23.7	21.8
# vacant for rent	8	12	8	28	# vacant for sale	8	16	8	32
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	43	58	52	153	# occupied units	32	193	473	698
% occupants <=80%	81.4	48.3	53.8	59.5	% occupants <=80%	75.0	52.3	26.8	36.1
% built before 1970	51.2	51.7	84.6	62.7	% built before 1970	87.5	76.2	74.2	75.4
% some problem	65.1	20.7	38.5	39.2	% some problem	37.5	26.9	15.4	19.6
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	4	8	4	16
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	22	4	0	26	# occupied units	89	165	539	793
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	4	8	16	28

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

County: Toole

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	77	61	133	271					
% occupants <=30%	42.9	6.6	13.5	20.3					
% built before 1970	46.8	52.5	64.7	56.8					not applicable
% some problem	5.2	0.0	18.0	10.3					
# vacant for rent	4	8	16	28					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	72	69	61	202	# occupied units	58	188	499	745
% occupants <=50%	66.7	31.9	13.1	38.6	% occupants <=50%	48.3	18.6	18.4	20.8
% built before 1970	80.6	52.2	75.4	69.3	% built before 1970	81.0	80.3	74.1	76.2
% some problem	59.7	40.6	13.1	39.1	% some problem	37.9	21.8	17.8	20.4
# vacant for rent	23	8	14	45	# vacant for sale	12	18	22	52
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	31	52	22	105	# occupied units	28	80	331	439
% occupants <=80%	61.3	50.0	36.4	50.5	% occupants <=80%	71.4	32.5	20.5	26.0
% built before 1970	58.1	50.0	72.7	57.1	% built before 1970	50.0	83.8	64.4	67.0
% some problem	48.4	36.5	36.4	40.0	% some problem	53.6	42.5	10.6	19.1
# vacant for rent	10	10	4	24	# vacant for sale	0	12	14	26
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	8	4	0	12	# occupied units	18	46	214	278
# vacant for rent	0	0	4	4	# vacant for sale	0	0	18	18

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

County: Treasure

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	12	34	44	90					
% occupants <=30%	33.3	23.5	27.3	26.7					
% built before 1970	66.7	76.5	52.3	63.3					not applicable
% some problem	33.3	11.8	9.1	13.3					
# vacant for rent	4	4	0	8					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	8	12	16	36	# occupied units	20	50	115	185
% occupants <=50%	50.0	33.3	50.0	44.4	% occupants <=50%	20.0	32.0	17.4	21.6
% built before 1970	50.0	66.7	75.0	66.7	% built before 1970	80.0	88.0	55.7	67.0
% some problem	50.0	33.3	50.0	44.4	% some problem	40.0	32.0	13.9	21.6
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	0	4
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	0	0	0	# occupied units	0	8	34	42
% occupants <=80%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	% occupants <=80%	N/A	50.0	11.8	19.0
% built before 1970	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	% built before 1970	N/A	50.0	58.8	57.1
% some problem	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	% some problem	N/A	50.0	23.5	28.6
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	4	4
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	4	4	8	# occupied units	0	20	63	83
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	0	0



## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

County: Valley

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	58	101	194	353					
% occupants <=30%	79.3	17.8	21.6	30.0					
% built before 1970	86.2	56.4	70.6	69.1					not applicable
% some problem	24.1	13.9	9.3	13.0					
# vacant for rent	0	18	27	45					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	57	172	148	377	# occupied units	50	330	909	1,289
% occupants <=50%	35.1	36.0	26.4	32.1	% occupants <=50%	60.0	28.8	19.3	23.3
% built before 1970	73.7	71.5	88.5	78.5	% built before 1970	96.0	71.5	68.8	70.5
% some problem	35.1	39.0	20.3	31.0	% some problem	24.0	15.8	14.7	15.4
# vacant for rent	0	19	10	29	# vacant for sale	16	68	97	181
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	44	68	30	142	# occupied units	12	145	593	750
% occupants <=80%	100.0	64.7	26.7	67.6	% occupants <=80%	66.7	54.5	27.0	32.9
% built before 1970	68.2	100.0	86.7	87.3	% built before 1970	100.0	75.9	60.5	64.1
% some problem	100.0	79.4	13.3	71.8	% some problem	0.0	32.4	12.8	16.4
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	8	8	16
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	0	18	0	18	# occupied units	20	102	407	529
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	4	8

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Wheatland

	Renters Units by # of bedrooms					Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms			
	0-1	2	3+	Total		0-1	2	3+	Total
<b>Rent &lt;= 30%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 30%</b>				
# occupied units	28	32	62	122					
% occupants <=30%	28.6	25.0	6.5	16.4					
% built before 1970	71.4	68.8	72.6	71.3					not applicable
% some problem	14.3	25.0	6.5	13.1					
# vacant for rent	18	18	14	50					
<b>Rent 31-50%</b>					<b>Value &lt;= 50%</b>				
# occupied units	30	44	46	120	# occupied units	28	124	220	372
% occupants <=50%	46.7	27.3	34.8	35.0	% occupants <=50%	57.1	36.3	20.9	28.8
% built before 1970	116.7	70.5	65.2	80.0	% built before 1970	64.3	63.7	83.6	75.5
% some problem	50.0	9.1	26.1	25.8	% some problem	28.6	27.4	25.0	26.1
# vacant for rent	4	4	0	8	# vacant for sale	12	16	12	40
<b>Rent 51-80%</b>					<b>Value 51-80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	4	8	16	# occupied units	12	40	141	193
% occupants <=80%	0.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	% occupants <=80%	100.0	55.0	44.7	50.3
% built before 1970	0.0	100.0	100.0	75.0	% built before 1970	66.7	85.0	69.5	72.5
% some problem	0.0	100.0	50.0	50.0	% some problem	33.3	20.0	17.0	18.7
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	8	8
<b>Rent &gt;80%</b>					<b>Value &gt;80%</b>				
# occupied units	4	4	0	8	# occupied units	4	40	92	136
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	8	8	16

## **AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES**

**County: Wibaux**

County: Wibaux	Renters Units by # of bedrooms				Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total	0-1	2	3+	Total	
Rent <= 30%					Value <= 30%				
# occupied units	18	40	36	94	not applicable				
% occupants <=30%	55.6	30.0	22.2	31.9					
% built before 1970	77.8	65.0	55.6	63.8					
% some problem	22.2	20.0	11.1	17.0					
# vacant for rent	4	20	4	28					
Rent 31-50%					Value <= 50%				
# occupied units	12	20	8	40	# occupied units	20	44	143	207
% occupants <=50%	33.3	40.0	50.0	40.0	% occupants <=50%	20.0	59.1	14.0	24.2
% built before 1970	66.7	60.0	150.0	80.0	% built before 1970	100.0	61.4	58.0	62.8
% some problem	0.0	20.0	50.0	20.0	% some problem	20.0	27.3	14.0	17.4
# vacant for rent	4	0	0	4	# vacant for sale	8	4	8	20
Rent 51-80%					Value 51-80%				
# occupied units	0	4	4	8	# occupied units	0	16	40	56
% occupants <=80%	N/A	100.0	0.0	50.0	% occupants <=80%	N/A	50.0	30.0	35.7
% built before 1970	N/A	0.0	100.0	50.0	% built before 1970	N/A	75.0	60.0	64.3
% some problem	N/A	100.0	0.0	50.0	% some problem	N/A	50.0	20.0	28.6
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	0	0	0
Rent >80%					Value >80%				
# occupied units	4	0	0	4	# occupied units	4	28	60	92
# vacant for rent	0	0	0	0	# vacant for sale	0	4	0	4

## AFFORDABILITY MISMATCH TABLES

County: Yellowstone	Renters Units by # of bedrooms				Owned/for sale units by # of bedrooms				
	0-1	2	3+	Total	0-1	2	3+	Total	
Rent <= 30%					Value <= 30%				
# occupied units	1,187	940	820	2,947					
% occupants <=30%	54.2	35.1	36.0	43.0					
% built before 1970	49.0	51.1	57.4	52.0					
% some problem	31.1	24.1	16.6	24.8					
# vacant for rent	59	54	20	133					
Rent 31-50%					Value <= 50%				
# occupied units	2,405	2,852	1,315	6,572	# occupied units	432	2,739	4,618	
% occupants <=50%	56.2	43.8	31.2	45.8	% occupants <=50%	42.6	34.4	24.9	
% built before 1970	63.4	45.0	55.5	53.8	% built before 1970	46.5	47.2	40.3	
% some problem	49.6	41.0	30.2	42.0	% some problem	40.3	30.6	28.7	
# vacant for rent	355	182	116	653	# vacant for sale	18	59	145	
Rent 51-80%					Value 51-80%				
# occupied units	1,316	2,949	1,434	5,699	# occupied units	335	2,591	10,376	
% occupants <=80%	68.5	49.4	38.8	51.2	% occupants <=80%	58.8	45.8	22.2	
% built before 1970	45.3	41.1	43.0	42.6	% built before 1970	62.4	70.0	52.4	
% some problem	56.9	35.4	34.8	40.2	% some problem	41.5	24.9	17.6	
# vacant for rent	14	103	4	121	# vacant for sale	15	42	108	
Rent >80%					Value >80%				
# occupied units	383	140	157	680	# occupied units	296	2,121	12,226	
# vacant for rent	20	0	4	24	# vacant for sale	0	46	145	